arXiv:0712.2742v1 [math.FA] 17 Dec 2007

FREE PLURIHARMONIC MAJORANTS AND NONCOMMUTATIVE INTERPOLATION

GELU POPESCU

Abstract. In this paper we initiate the study of sub-pluriharmonic curves and free pluriharmonic majorants on the noncommutative open ball

$$[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 := \{(X_1,\dots,X_n)\in B(\mathcal{H})^n:\ \|X_1X_1^*+\cdots+X_nX_n^*\|^{1/2}<1\},$$

where $B(\mathcal{H})$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Several classical results from complex analysis have analogues in this noncommutative multivariable setting.

We present basic properties for sub-pluriharmonic curves, characterize the class of sub-pluriharmonic curves that admit free pluriharmonic majorants and find, in this case, the least free pluriharmonic majorants. We show that, for any free holomorphic function Θ on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, the map

$$\varphi:[0,1)\to C^*(R_1,\dots,R_n),\quad \varphi(r):=\Theta(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)^*\Theta(rR_1,\dots,rR_n),$$

is a sub-pluriharmonic curve in the Cuntz-Toeplitz algebra generated by the right creation operators $R_1,\dots,R_n$ on the full Fock space with n generators. We prove that Θ is in the noncommutative Hardy space $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$ if and only if φ has a free pluriharmonic majorant. In this case, we find Herglotz-Riesz and Poisson type representations for the least pluriharmonic majorant of φ. Moreover, we obtain a characterization of the unit ball of $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$ and provide a parametrization and concrete representations for all free pluriharmonic majorants of φ, when Θ is in the unit ball of $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$.

In the second part of this paper, we introduce a generalized noncommutative commutant lifting (**GNCL**) problem which extends, to our noncommutative multivariable setting, several lifting problems including the classical Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş commutant lifting problem and the extensions obtained by Treil-Volberg, Foiaş-Frazho-Kaashoek, and Biswas-Foiaş-Frazho, as well as their multivariable noncommutative versions. We solve the **GNCL** problem and, using the results regarding sub-pluriharmonic curves and free pluriharmonic majorants on noncommutative balls, we provide a complete description of all solutions. In particular, we obtain a concrete Schur type description of all solutions in the noncommutative commutant lifting theorem.

Introduction

Noncommutative multivariable operator theory has received a lot of attention, in the last two decades, in the attempt of obtaining a *free* analogue of Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş theory [62], for row contractions, i.e., n-tuples of bounded linear operators $(T_1,\dots,T_n)$ on a Hilbert space such that

$$T_1T_1^*+\cdots+T_nT_n^*\le I.$$

Significant progress has been made regarding noncommutative dilation theory and its applications to interpolation in several variables ([19], [8], [36], [37], [38], [41], [13], [55], [52], [43], [45], [2], [1], [47], [15], [5], [50], [51], [54], [56], [57], etc.), and unitary invariants for n-tuples of operators ([38], [3], [4], [48], [49], [6], [51], [55], etc.).

In [53] and [56], we developed a theory of holomorphic (resp. pluriharmonic) functions in several noncommuting (free) variables and provide a framework for the study of arbitrary n-tuples of operators on a Hilbert space. Several classical results from complex analysis have free analogues in this noncommutative multivariable setting. This theory enhances our program to develop a *free* analogue of Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş

Date: November 19, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47A57; 47A20; Secondary: 47A56; 46L52 .

Key words and phrases. Multivariable operator theory; Noncommutative Hardy space; Fock space; Creation operators; Free holomorphic function; Free pluriharmonic function; Sub-pluriharmonic curves; Commutant lifting; interpolation.

Research supported in part by an NSF grant.

theory. In related areas of research, we remark the work of Helton, McCullough, Putinar, and Vinnikov, on symmetric noncommutative polynomials ([25], [26], [28], [27], [29]), and the work of Muhly and Solel on representations of tensor algebras over C^* correspondences (see [31], [32]).

The present paper is a natural continuation of [53] and [56]. We initiate here the study of sub-pluriharmonic curves and free pluriharmonic majorants on noncommutative balls. We are lead to a characterization of the noncommutative Hardy space $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$ in terms of free pluriharmonic majorants, and to a Schur type description of the unit ball of $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$. These results are used to solve a multivariable commutant lifting problem and provide a description of all solutions.

To put our work in perspective and present our results, we need to set up some notation. Let $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ be the unital free semigroup on n generators $g_1,\dots,g_n$ and the identity g_0. The length of $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$ is defined by $|\alpha|:=0$ if $\alpha=g_0$ and $|\alpha|:=k$ if $\alpha=g_{i_1}\cdots g_{i_k}$, where $i_1,\dots,i_k\in\{1,\dots,n\}$. If $(X_1,\dots,X_n)\in B(\mathcal{H})^n$, where $B(\mathcal{H})$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, we denote $X_\alpha:=X_{i_1}\cdots X_{i_k}$ and $X_{g_0}:=I_{\mathcal{H}}$. We recall (see [53], [56]) that a map $f:[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1\to B(\mathcal{H})$ is called free holomorphic function with scalar coefficients if it has a representation

$$f(X_1,\dots,X_n)=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k}a_\alpha X_\alpha,\qquad X:=(X_1,\dots,X_n)\in[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

where $\{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}$ are complex numbers with $\limsup\limits_{k\to\infty}(\sum\limits_{|\alpha|=k}|a_\alpha|^2)^{1/2k}\le 1$. The function f is in the noncommutative Hardy space $H^\infty_{\mathbf{ball}}$ if

$$\|f\|_\infty:=\sup_{X\in[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1}\|f(X)\|<\infty,$$

and in $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$ if $\|f\|_2:=(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}|a_\alpha|^2)^{1/2}<\infty$.

We say that $h:[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1\to B(\mathcal{H})$ is a self-adjoint free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ if $h=\operatorname{Re} f$ for some free holomorphic function f. An arbitrary free pluriharmonic function is a linear combination of self-adjoint free pluriharmonic functions. In the particular case when $n=1$, a function $X\mapsto h(X)$ is free pluriharmonic on $[B(\mathcal{H})]_1$ if and only if the function $\lambda\mapsto h(\lambda)$ is harmonic on the open unit disc $\mathbb{D}:=\{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}:\ |\lambda|<1\}$. If $n\ge 2$ and h is a free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, then its scalar representation $(z_1,\dots,z_n)\mapsto h(z_1,\dots,z_n)$ is a pluriharmonic function on the open unit ball $\mathbb{B}_n:=\{z\in\mathbb{C}^n:\ \|z\|_2<1\}$, but the converse is not true. As shown in [53], [56], several classical results from complex analysis, regarding holomorphic (resp. harmonic) functions, have free analogues in this noncommutative multivariable setting.

Let H_n be an n-dimensional complex Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $e_1,\ e_2,\ \dots,e_n$, where $n\in\{1,2,\dots\}$. We consider the full Fock space of H_n defined by

$$F^2(H_n):=\mathbb{C}1\oplus\bigoplus_{k\ge1}H_n^{\otimes k},$$

where $H_n^{\otimes k}$ is the (Hilbert) tensor product of k copies of H_n. Define the left (resp. right) creation operators S_i (resp. R_i), $i=1,\dots,n$, acting on $F^2(H_n)$ by setting

$$S_i\varphi:=e_i\otimes\varphi,\quad \varphi\in F^2(H_n),$$

(resp. $R_i\varphi:=\varphi\otimes e_i,\quad \varphi\in F^2(H_n)$). The noncommutative disc algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_n$) is the norm closed algebra generated by the left (resp. right) creation operators and the identity. The noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_n^\infty$) is the the weakly closed version of $\mathcal{A}_n$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_n$). These algebras were introduced in [40] in connection with a noncommutative version of the classical von Neumann inequality [64] (see [35] for a nice survey). They have been studied in several papers [38], [39], [42], [43], [44], [46], [2], and recently in [17], [16], [15], [48], [12], [49], [14], and [53].

In Section 1, we introduce the class of sub-pluriharmonic curves and present basic properties. We prove that a self-adjoint (i.e. $g(r)=g(r)^*$) map $g:[0,1)\to\overline{\mathcal{A}_n^*+\mathcal{A}_n}^{\|\cdot\|}$ is a sub-pluriharmonic curve in the Cuntz-Toeplitz C^*-algebra $C^*(S_1,\dots,S_n)$ (see [11]) if and only if

$$g(r)\le P_{\frac{r}{\gamma}S}[g(\gamma)]\qquad\text{for }\ 0\le r<\gamma<1,$$

where $P_X[u]$ is the noncommutative Poisson transform of u at X. We obtain a characterization for the class of all sub-pluriharmonic curves that admit free pluriharmonic majorants, and prove the existence of the least pluriharmonic majorant. More precisely, we show that a self-adjoint mapping $g : [0,1) \to \overline{\mathcal{A}_n^* + \mathcal{A}_n}^{\|\cdot\|}$ has a pluriharmonic majorant if and only if

$$\sup_{0<r<1} \|\tau[g(r)]\| < \infty,$$

where τ is the linear functional on $B(F^2(H_n))$ defined by $\tau(f) := \langle f(1), 1\rangle$. In this case, there is a least pluriharmonic majorant for g, namely, the map

$$[0,1) \ni r \to u(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n) \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n^* + \mathcal{A}_n}^{\|\cdot\|},$$

where the free pluriharmonic function u is given by

$$u(X_1, \ldots, X_n) := \lim_{\gamma\to 1} P_{\frac{1}{\gamma}X}[g(\gamma)]$$

for any $X := (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ and the limit is in the norm topology.

Let θ be an analytic function on the open disc $\mathbb{D}$. It is well-known that the map $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ defined by $\varphi(\lambda) := |\theta(\lambda)|^2$ is subharmonic. A classical result on harmonic majorants (see Section 2.6 in [18]) states that θ is in the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if φ has a harmonic majorant. Moreover, the least harmonic majorant of φ is given by the formula

$$h(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it}+\lambda}{e^{it}-\lambda} |\theta(e^{it})|^2 dt, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{D}.$$

In Section 2, we obtain free analogues of these results. We show that, for any free holomorphic function Θ on the noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, the mapping

$$\varphi : [0,1) \to C^*(R_1, \ldots, R_n), \quad \varphi(r) = \Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)^*\Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n),$$

is a sub-pluriharmonic curve in the Cuntz-Toeplitz algebra generated by the right creation operators $R_1, \ldots, R_n$ on the full Fock space with n generators. We prove that a free holomorphic function Θ is in the noncommutative Hardy space $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$ if and only if φ has a pluriharmonic majorant. In this case, the least pluriharmonic majorant ψ for φ is given by $\psi(r) := \operatorname{Re} W(rR_1, \ldots rR_n)$, $r \in [0,1)$, where W is the free holomorphic function having the Herglotz-Riesz ([30], [58]) type representation

$$W(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = (\mu_\theta \otimes \mathrm{id})\left[\left(I + \sum_{i=1}^n R_i^* \otimes X_i\right)\left(I - \sum_{i=1}^n R_i^* \otimes X_i\right)^{-1}\right]$$

for $(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, where $\mu_\theta : \mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n \to \mathbb{C}$ is a positive linear map uniquely determined by Θ. Poisson type representations for the least pluriharmonic majorant are also considered.

In Section 3, we provide a parametrization and concrete representations for all pluriharmonic majorants of the sub-pluriharmonic curve φ, where Θ is in the unit ball of $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$. We show that, up to a normalization, all pluriharmonic majorants of φ have the form $\operatorname{Re} F$, where F is a free holomorphic function given by

$$F(X) = W(X) + (D_\Gamma \otimes I)\,[I + G(X)][I - G(X)]^{-1}\,(D_\Gamma \otimes I)\,, \quad X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

where G is in the unit ball of the noncommutative Hardy space $H^\infty_{\mathbf{ball}}$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma)$, Γ is the symbol of Θ, and $\operatorname{Re} W$ is the least pluriharmonic majorant of φ considered above. Moreover, F and G uniquely determine each other. Using this result, we obtain a characterization of the unit ball of $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$. More precisely, we show that Θ is a free holomorphic function in the unit ball of $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$ if and only if it has a Schur type representation

$$\Theta(X) = L(X)\left[I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i M_i(X)\right]^{-1} \qquad \text{for} \;\; X := (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} L & M_1 & \cdots & M_n \end{bmatrix}^t$ (^{t} is the transpose) is a free holomorphic function in the unit ball of the noncommutative Hardy space $H^\infty_{\mathbf{ball}}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

We should mention that all the results of this paper are obtained in the more general setting of sub-pluriharmonic curves (resp. free pluriharmonic majorants) with operator-valued coefficients.

The celebrated *commutant lifting theorem* (**CLT**), due to Sz.-Nagy and Foiaş [61] for the general case and Sarason [59] for an important special case, provides the natural geometric framework for classical and modern H^∞ interpolation problems. For a detailed analysis of the **CLT** and its applications to various interpolation and extension problems, we refer the reader to the monographs [21] and [22].

In the present paper, we introduce a *generalized noncommutative commutant lifting* (**GNCL**) problem, which extends to a multivariable setting several lifting problems including the classical Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş commutant lifting [61] and the extensions obtained by Treil-Volberg [63], Foiaş-Frazho-Kaashoek [23], and Biswas-Foiaş-Frazho [7], as well as their multivariable noncommutative versions [37], [41], [45], [50], [51], [54]. While, in the classical case, there is a large literature regarding parametrizations and Schur ([60]) type representations of the set of all solutions in the **CLT** (see [21], [22], [20], [23], etc.), very little is known in the noncommutative multivariable case (see [51]). In the present paper, we try to fill in this gap.

A lifting *data set* $\{A, T, V, C, Q\}$ for the **GNCL** problem is defined as follows. Let $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$, $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, be a row contraction and let $V := (V_1, \ldots, V_n)$, $V_i \in B(\mathcal{K})$, be the minimal isometric dilation of T on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$, in the sense of [37]. Let $Q := (Q_1, \ldots, Q_n)$, $Q_i \in B(\mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{X})$, and $C := (C_1, \ldots, C_n)$, $C_i \in B(\mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{X})$, be such that

$$[\delta_{ij} C_i^* C_j]_{n\times n} \leq [Q_i^* Q_j]_{n\times n}\,.$$

Consider a contraction $A \in B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{H})$ such that

$$T_i A C_i = A Q_i, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

We say that B is a *contractive interpolant* for A with respect to $\{A, T, V, C, Q\}$ if $B \in B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K})$ is a contraction satisfying the conditions

$$P_{\mathcal{H}} B = A \quad \text{ and } \; V_i B C_i = B Q_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where $P_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the orthogonal projection from $\mathcal{K}$ onto $\mathcal{H}$. The **GNCL** problem is to find contractive interpolants B of A with respect to the data set $\{A, T, V, C, Q\}$.

In Section 4, we solve the **GNCL** problem and, using our results regarding sub-pluriharmonic curves and free pluriharmonic majorants on noncommutative balls, we provide a Schur type description of all solutions in terms of the elements of the unit ball of an appropriate noncommutative Hardy space $H^\infty_{\mathbf{ball}}$ (see Theorem 4.2). Our results are new, in particular, even in the multivariable settings considered in [37], [41], [45], [50], [51], and [54]. Here we point out some remarkable special cases.

If the data set $\{A, T, V, C, Q\}$ is such that $\mathcal{G}_i = \mathcal{X}$, $C_i = I_{\mathcal{X}}$, $Q_i = Y_i \in B(\mathcal{X})$, for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $Y := (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ is a row isometry, we obtain (see Theorem 4.8) a parametrization and a concrete Schur type description of all solutions in the *noncommutative commutant lifting theorem* ([37]). On the other hand, when $\mathcal{G}_i = \mathcal{X}$ and $C_i = I_{\mathcal{X}}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we obtain a description of all solutions of the multivariable version [50] of Treil-Volberg commutant lifting theorem [63]. The **GNCL** theorem also implies the multivariable version [50] of the weighted commutant lifting theorem of Biswas-Foiaş-Frazho [7].

Finally, we remark that, when applied to the interpolation theory setting, our results provide complete descriptions of all solutions to the Nevanlinna-Pick ([33], [34]), Carathéodory-Fejér ([9], [10]), and Sarason ([59]) type interpolation problems for the noncommutative Hardy spaces $H^\infty_{\mathbf{ball}}$ and $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$, as well as consequences to (norm constrained) interpolation on the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$. These issues will be addressed in a future paper.

1. Sub-pluriharmonic curves in Cuntz-Toeplitz algebras

In this section we initiate the study of sub-pluriharmonic curves and present some basic properties. We obtain a characterization for the class of all sub-pluriharmonic curves which admit free pluriharmonic majorants, and find the least pluriharmonic majorants. Some of the results of this section can be extended to the class of C^*-subharmonic curves.

We need to recall from [56] a few facts concerning the *noncommutative Berezin transform* associated with a completely bounded linear map $\mu : B(F^2(H_n)) \to B(\mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a separable Hilbert space. This is the map

$$\mathcal{B}_\mu : B(F^2(H_n)) \times [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \to B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{min} B(\mathcal{H})$$

defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_\mu(f, X) := \widetilde{\mu}\left[B_X^*(f \otimes I_\mathcal{H})B_X\right], \qquad f \in B(F^2(H_n)),\ X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

where the operator $B_X \in B(F^2(H_n)) \otimes \mathcal{H})$ is given by

$$B_X := (I_{F^2(H_n)} \otimes \Delta_X)\left(I - R_1 \otimes X_1^* - \cdots - R_n \otimes X_n^*\right)^{-1},$$

$\Delta_X := (I_\mathcal{H} - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i^*)^{1/2}$, and

$$\widetilde{\mu} := \mu \otimes \mathrm{id} : B(F^2(H_n)) \otimes_{min} B(\mathcal{H}) \to B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{min} B(\mathcal{H})$$

is the completely bounded linear map uniquely defined by $\widetilde{\mu}(f \otimes Y) := \mu(f) \otimes Y$ for $f \in B(F^2(H_n))$ and $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$.

An important particular case is the Berezin transform B_τ, where τ is the linear functional on $B(F^2(H_n))$ defined by $\tau(f) := \langle f(1), 1\rangle$. This will be called Poisson transform because it coincides with the *noncommutative Poisson transform* introduced in [46]. More precisely, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_\tau(f, X) = P_X(f) := K_X^*(f \otimes I)K_X,$$

where $K_X = B_X|_{1\otimes\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H} \to F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is the noncommutative Poisson kernel. We recall from [46] that the restriction of P_X to the C^*-algebra $C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$, generated by the left creation operators, can be extended to the closed ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$ by setting

$$P_X[f] := \lim_{r\to 1} K_{rX}^*(f \otimes I)K_{rX}, \qquad X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-,\ f \in C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n),$$

where $rX := (rX_1, \dots, rX_n)$, $r \in (0, 1)$, and the limit exists in the operator norm topology of $B(\mathcal{H})$. In this case, we have

$$P_X(S_\alpha S_\beta^*) = X_\alpha X_\beta^* \quad \text{for any} \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

When $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a pure n-tuple, i.e., $\sum_{|\alpha|=k} X_\alpha X_\alpha^* \to 0$, as $k \to \infty$, in the strong operator topology, then we have $P_X(f) = K_X^*(f \otimes I)K_X$. The *operator-valued Poisson transform* at $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ is the map $\mathbf{P}_X : B(\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n)) \to B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$\mathbf{P}_X[u] := (I_\mathcal{E} \otimes K_X^*)(u \otimes I_\mathcal{H})(I_\mathcal{E} \otimes K_X)$$

for any $u \in B(\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n))$. We refer to [46], [48], [49], and [55] for more on noncommutative Poisson transforms on C^*-algebras generated by isometries.

Let $\mathcal{P}_n$ be the set of all polynomials in $S_1, \dots, S_n$ and the identity, and let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n$ denote (throughout the paper) the spatial tensor product $B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{P}_n$. A *pluriharmonic curve* in the (spatial) tensor product $B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{min} C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ is a map $\varphi : [0, \gamma) \to \overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n^* + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n}^{\|\cdot\|}$ satisfying the Poisson mean value property, i.e.,

$$\varphi(r) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{t}S}[\varphi(t)] \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le r < t < \gamma,$$

where $S := (S_1, \dots, S_n)$. According to [56], there exists a one-to-one correspondence $u \mapsto \varphi$ between the set of all free pluriharmonic functions on the noncommutative ball of radius γ, $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma$, and the set of all pluriharmonic curves $\varphi : [0, \gamma) \to \overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n^* + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n}^{\|\cdot\|}$ in the tensor product $B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{min} C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$. Moreover, we have

$$u(X) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X}[\varphi(r)] \quad \text{for} \quad X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r \quad \text{and} \quad r \in (0, \gamma),$$

and $\varphi(r) = u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ if $r \in [0, \gamma)$. We also solved in [56] a Dirichlet type extension problem which implies that a free pluriharmonic function u has continuous extension to the closed ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma^-$ if and only if there exists a pluriharmonic curve $\varphi : [0, \gamma] \to \overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n^* + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n}^{\|\cdot\|}$ such that $u(X) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X}[\varphi(r)]$ for any $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$ and $r \in (0, \gamma]$. We add that u and φ uniquely determine each other and satisfy the equations $u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) = \varphi(r)$ if $r \in [0, \gamma)$ and $\varphi(\gamma) = \lim_{r\to\gamma} u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$, where the convergence is in the operator norm topology. Now, we can introduce the class of *sub-pluriharmonic curves* in the tensor algebra $B(\mathcal{E})\otimes_{min} C^*(S_1,\ldots,S_n)$. We say that a map

$$\psi:[0,1)\to\overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n+\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n}^{\|\cdot\|}\quad \text{ with }\ \psi(r)=\psi(r)^*, r\in[0,1),$$

is a *sub-pluriharmonic* curve provided that for each $\gamma\in(0,1)$ and each self-adjoint pluriharmonic curve $\varphi:[0,\gamma]\to\overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n^*+\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n}^{\|\cdot\|}$, if $\psi(\gamma)\leq\varphi(\gamma)$, then

$$\psi(r)\leq\varphi(r)\ \text{ for any }\ r\in[0,\gamma].$$

Our first result is the following characterization of sub-pluriharmonic curves.

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $g:[0,1)\to\overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n^*+\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n}^{\|\cdot\|}$ *be a map with* $g(r)=g(r)^*$ *for* $r\in[0,1)$*. Then* g *is sub-pluriharmonic if and only if*

$$g(r)\leq\mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{\gamma}S}[g(\gamma)]\qquad \text{for }\ 0\leq r<\gamma<1.$$

The equality holds if g *is pluriharmonic.*

Proof. Assume that g is sub-pluriharmonic and let $0\leq r<\gamma<1$. Since $g(\gamma)\in\overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n^*+\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n}^{\|\cdot\|}$, one can use Theorem 4.1 from [56], to deduce that the map $u:[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma\to B(\mathcal{E})\otimes_{min}B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$u(X)=\mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{\gamma}X}[g(\gamma)]\quad \text{ for }\ X\in[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma$$

is free pluriharmonic on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma$ and has a continuous extension to $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma^-$. In this case, we have $g(\gamma)=\lim_{t\to\gamma}u(tS_1,\ldots,tS_n)$. Moreover, if $\varphi:[0,\gamma]\to\overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n^*+\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n}^{\|\cdot\|}$ is the pluriharmonic curve uniquely associated with the free pluriharmonic function u, then

$$\varphi(r)=\mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{\gamma}S}[g(\gamma)]\quad \text{ for }\ r\in[0,\gamma].$$

Since g is a sub-pluriharmonic curve and $g(\gamma)=\varphi(\gamma)$, we deduce that

$$g(r)\leq\varphi(r)=\mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{\gamma}S}[g(\gamma)]\quad \text{ for any }\ r\in[0,\gamma].$$

Conversely, assume that g has the property that

$$g(r)\leq\mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{\gamma}S}[g(\gamma)]\quad \text{ for any }\ 0\leq r<\gamma<1. \tag{1.1}$$

Let $\varphi:[0,\gamma]\to\overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n^*+\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n}^{\|\cdot\|}$ be a pluriharmonic curve such that $\varphi(r)=\varphi(r)^*$ for $r\in[0,\gamma]$, and assume that $g(\gamma)\leq\varphi(\gamma)$. Since $g(\gamma)$ and $\varphi(\gamma)$ are in $B(\mathcal{E})\otimes_{min}C^*(S_1,\ldots,S_n)$ and the noncommutative Poisson transform is a positive map, we deduce that

$$\mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{\gamma}S}[g(\gamma)]\leq\mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{\gamma}S}[\varphi(\gamma)],\qquad 0\leq r<\gamma. \tag{1.2}$$

On the other hand, since φ is a pluriharmonic curve on $[0,\gamma]$, we have $\varphi(r)=\mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{\gamma}S}[\varphi(\gamma)]$ for $0\leq r<\gamma$. Hence, using relations (1.1) and (1.2), we deduce that $g(r)\leq\varphi(r)$ for any $r\in[0,\gamma]$. The proof is complete. □

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1, we remark that if $u_1,\ldots,u_k$ are sub-pluriharmonic curves and $\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k$ are positive numbers then $\lambda_1u_1+\cdots+\lambda_ku_k$ is sub-pluriharmonic. Notice also that a self-adjoint function $u:[0,1)\to\overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n^*+\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n}^{\|\cdot\|}$ is pluriharmonic if and only if both u and $-u$ are sub-pluriharmonic.

We recall (see Lemma 2.3 from [56]) that if $\gamma_1>0$ and $0\leq\gamma_j\leq1$ for $j=2,\ldots,k$, then the noncommutative Poisson transform has the property

$$P_{\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_kX}=P_{\gamma_1X}\circ P_{\gamma_2S}\circ\cdots\circ P_{\gamma_kS}$$

for any $X\in[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\frac{1}{\gamma_1}}$, where $S:=(S_1,\ldots,S_n)$ is the n-tuple of left creation operators on the Fock space $F^2(H_n)$. Moreover, we have

$$\mathbf{P}_{\gamma_1\cdots\gamma_kX}[g]=\left(\mathbf{P}_{\gamma_1X}\circ\mathbf{P}_{\gamma_2S}\circ\cdots\circ\mathbf{P}_{\gamma_kS}\right)[g] \tag{1.3}$$

for any $g\in B(\mathcal{E})\otimes_{min}B(F^2(H_n))$.

Corollary 1.2. *Let* $g : [0,1) \to \overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n^* + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n}^{\|\cdot\|}$ *be a sub-pluriharmonic curve and let* τ *be the linear functional on* $B(F^2(H_n))$ *defined by* $\tau(f) := \langle f(1), 1\rangle$*. Then*

(i) $\mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{\gamma_1}S}[g(\gamma_1)] \leq \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{\gamma_2}S}[g(\gamma_2)]$ *for* $0 < r < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < 1$;

(ii) $g(0) \leq \widetilde{\tau}[g(\gamma_1)] \leq \widetilde{\tau}[g(\gamma_2)]$ *for* $0 < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < 1$, *where* $\widetilde{\tau} := \tau \otimes \mathrm{id}$;

(iii) $\widetilde{\tau}[g(0)] \leq \widetilde{\tau}[g(\gamma_1)]$ *for* $0 \leq \gamma_1 < 1$.

Proof. According to Theorem 1.1, we have

$$g(r) \leq \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{\gamma_2}S}[g(\gamma_2)] \quad \text{for } \ 0 \leq r < \gamma_2 < 1, \tag{1.4}$$

which implies

$$g(\gamma_1) \leq \mathbf{P}_{\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2}S}[g(\gamma_2)] \quad \text{for } \ 0 \leq r < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < 1.$$

Hence, using (1.3) and the positivity of the noncommutative Poisson transform, we deduce that

$$\mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{\gamma_1}S}[g(\gamma_1)] \leq \left(\mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{\gamma_1}S} \circ \mathbf{P}_{\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2}S}\right)[g(\gamma_2)] = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{\gamma_2}S}[g(\gamma_2)] \tag{1.5}$$

for $0 < r < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < 1$. Passing to the limit in (1.5), as $r \to 0$, and using the continuity of the noncommutative Berezin transform, we deduce that

$$\widetilde{\tau}[g(\gamma_1)] = \mathbf{P}_0[g(\gamma_1)] \leq \mathbf{P}_0[g(\gamma_2)] = \widetilde{\tau}[g(\gamma_2)].$$

Notice also that relation (1.4), implies

$$g(0) \leq \mathbf{P}_0[g(\gamma_1)] = \widetilde{\tau}[g(\gamma_1)] \quad \text{for } 0 < \gamma_1 < 1.$$

Part (iii) is now obvious. This completes the proof. □

If g is a sub-pluriharmonic curve on $[0,1)$ and h is pluriharmonic on the same interval such that $g(r) \leq h(r)$, $r \in [0,1)$, we say that h is a *pluriharmonic majorant* for g. The next result provides a characterization of all sub-pluriharmonic curves which admit free pluriharmonic majorants. In this case, we find the least pluriharmonic majorant.

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $g : [0,1) \to \overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n^* + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n}^{\|\cdot\|}$ *be a sub-pluriharmonic curve. Then there exists a pluriharmonic majorant of* g *if and only if*

$$\sup_{0<r<1} \|\widetilde{\tau}[g(r)]\| < \infty, \tag{1.6}$$

where $\widetilde{\tau} := \tau \otimes \mathrm{id}$ *and* τ *is the linear functional on* $B(F^2(H_n))$ *defined by* $\tau(f) := \langle f(1), 1\rangle$*. In this case, there is a least pluriharmonic majorant for* g*, namely, the map*

$$[0,1) \ni r \to u(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n) \in \overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n^* + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n}^{\|\cdot\|},$$

where the free pluriharmonic function u *is given by*

$$u(X_1, \ldots, X_n) := \lim_{\gamma \to 1} \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{\gamma}X}[g(\gamma)]$$

for any $X := (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ *and the limit is in the norm topology.*

Proof. Assume that u is a pluriharmonic majorant for g, i.e.,

$$g(\gamma) \leq u(\gamma) \quad \text{for any } \ \gamma \in [0,1).$$

Since $\widetilde{\tau}$ is a positive map, we deduce that $\widetilde{\tau}[g(\gamma)] \leq \widetilde{\tau}[u(\gamma)]$, $\gamma \in [0,1)$. According to Theorem 1.1, we have

$$g(0) \leq \widetilde{\tau}[g(\gamma)] \qquad \text{for } \ \gamma \in (0,1).$$

Since u is a pluriharmonic function, we have $\widetilde{\tau}[u(\gamma)] = u(0)$. Using these relations, we deduce that

$$g(0) \leq \widetilde{\tau}[g(\gamma)] \leq u(0) \quad \text{for } \ \gamma \in (0,1).$$

Taking into account that the operators $g(0)$, $u(0)$, and $\widetilde{\tau}[g(\gamma)]$, $\gamma \in (0,1)$, are selfadjoint, one can easily obtain (1.6).

Conversely, assume that relation (1.6) holds. Define $h_\gamma : [0,\gamma) \to \overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n^* + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n}^{\|\cdot\|}$ by setting

$$h_\gamma(r) := \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{\gamma}S}[g(\gamma)] \quad \text{for } 0 \leq r < \gamma. \tag{1.7}$$

Since g is sub-pluriharmonic, h_γ is a pluriharmonic majorant for g on $[0,\gamma)$. Notice that if $f : [0,1) \to \overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n^* + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n}^{\|\cdot\|}$ is continuous and pluriharmonic on $[0,\gamma]$ such that $f(r) \geq g(r)$ for any $r \in [0,\gamma]$, then $f(r) \geq h_\gamma(r)$ for $r \in [0,\gamma)$. Indeed, since $f(\gamma) \geq g(\gamma)$, the Poisson transform is a positive map, and f is pluriharmonic, we have

$$f(r) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{\gamma}S}[f(\gamma)] \geq \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{\gamma}S}[g(\gamma)] = h_\gamma(r)$$

for $r \in [0,\gamma)$. This shows that h_γ is the least pluriharmonic majorant of g on $[0,\gamma)$.

Now let $0 < \gamma < \gamma' < 1$. Since $h_{\gamma'}$ is pluriharmonic majorant for g on $[0,\gamma')$, it is also a pluriharmonic majorant for g on $[0,\gamma)$. Due to our result above, we have

$$h_\gamma(r) \leq h_{\gamma'}(r) \quad \text{for any } r \in [0,\gamma).$$

Due to relation (1.7), we have $h_\gamma(0) = \widetilde{\tau}[g(\gamma)]$ for $0 < \gamma < 1$ and, therefore,

$$\sup_{0<\gamma<1} \|h_\gamma(0)\| = \sup_{0<\gamma<1} \|\widetilde{\tau}[g(\gamma)]\| < \infty.$$

Now, each h_γ generates a unique pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma$ by setting

$$u_\gamma(X) := \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{t}X}[h_\gamma(t)] \quad \text{for } X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_t \text{ and } t \in [0,\gamma). \tag{1.8}$$

If $0 < \gamma < \gamma' < 1$, then

$$u_\gamma(X) \leq u_{\gamma'}(X) \quad \text{for } X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma.$$

Since

$$\sup_{0<\gamma<1} \|h_\gamma(0)\| = \sup_{0<\gamma<1} \|u_\gamma(0)\| < \infty,$$

we can use the Harnack type convergence theorem from [56] to deduce the existence of a pluriharmonic function u on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ such that its radial function satisfies $u(r) := u(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n) = \lim_{\gamma\to 1} u_\gamma(r)$ for any $r \in [0,1)$, where the convergence is in the operator norm topology. On the other hand, using relation (1.8), the fact that h_γ is pluriharmonic on $[0,\gamma)$, and relation (1.7), we have

$$u_\gamma(r) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{t}S}[h_\gamma(t)] = h_\gamma(r) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{\gamma}S}[g(\gamma)] \quad \text{for } 0 \leq r < t < \gamma.$$

Since $h_\gamma \geq g$ on $[0,\gamma)$ for each $\gamma \in (0,1)$, we deduce that $u \geq g$ on $[0,1)$. If f is any pluriharmonic majorant for g on $[0,1)$, then, as previously shown, we have $f \geq h_\gamma$ on $[0,\gamma)$ for each $\gamma \in (0,1)$. Hence, $f \geq u$ on $[0,1)$. Therefore, we have shown that $u : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \to B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{min} B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} u(X) &= \lim_{\gamma\to 1} \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{t}X}[h_\gamma(t)] = \lim_{\gamma\to 1} \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{t}X}\left[\mathbf{P}_{\frac{t}{\gamma}S}[g(\gamma)]\right] \\ &= \lim_{\gamma\to 1} \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{\gamma}X}[g(\gamma)] \end{aligned}$$

for $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_t$ and $t \in (0,\gamma)$, is a pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Moreover, its radial function $u(t) = \lim_{r\to 1} \mathbf{P}_{\frac{t}{r}S}[g(r)]$, $t \in [0,1)$, is the least pluriharmonic majorant of g. This completes the proof. □

Now we can prove the following maximum principle for sub-pluriharmonic curves.

Theorem 1.4. *If g is a sub-pluriharmonic curve on $[0,1)$ and $g(0) \geq g(r)$ for any $r \in [0,1)$, then g is a constant.*

Proof. Since $\widetilde{\tau}$ is a positive map, we have $\widetilde{\tau}[g(r)] \leq \widetilde{\tau}[g(0)]$ for $r \in [0,1)$. Hence and using Corollary 1.2, we deduce that

$$g(0) \leq \widetilde{\tau}[g(r)] \leq \widetilde{\tau}[g(0)] \quad \text{for } r \in (0,1). \tag{1.9}$$

On the other hand, since $g(0)$ is in $\overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n^* + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_n}^{\|\cdot\|}$, so is $\varphi := \widetilde{\tau}[g(0)] - g(0)$. Moreover, φ is positive with $\widetilde{\tau}(\varphi) = 0$. Using Theorem 4.1 from [56], the pluriharmonic function h associated with φ, i.e., $h(X) = \mathbf{P}_X[\varphi]$, $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, is positive and $h(0) = 0$. Due to the maximum principle for free pluriharmonic functions (see Theorem 2.9 from [56]), we deduce that $h = 0$. Since $\varphi = \lim_{r\to 1} h(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n)$, we also have $\varphi = 0$, whence $g(0) = \widetilde{\tau}[g(0)]$. Due to relation (1.9), we have

$$\widetilde{\tau}[g(r)] = g(0) \quad \text{for } \ r \in [0,1). \tag{1.10}$$

Hence and using the fact that $g(0) \geq g(r)$, $r \in [0,1)$, we deduce that $\widetilde{\tau}[g(r)] - g(r) \geq 0$ for $r \in (0,1)$. A similar argument as before implies $g(r) = \widetilde{\tau}[g(r)]$ for $r \in (0,1)$. Now taking into account (1.10), we get $g(r) = g(0)$ for $r \in [0,1)$. Therefore, $\widetilde{\tau}[g(r)] = \widetilde{\tau}[g(0)] = g(0)$ for $r \in [0,1)$. This completes the proof. □

A few remarks are necessary. We say that a map $\varphi : [0,\gamma) \to B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{min} C^*(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$ is a C^*-*harmonic curve* if it satisfies the Poisson mean value property, i.e.,

$$\varphi(r) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{t}S}[\varphi(t)] \quad \text{for } \ 0 \leq r < t < \gamma.$$

According to [56], there exists a one-to-one correspondence $u \mapsto \varphi$ between the set of all C^*-harmonic functions on the noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma$ and the set of all C^*-harmonic curves $\varphi : [0,\gamma) \to B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{min} C^*(S_1, \ldots, S_n)$. We say that a map

$$\psi : [0,1) \to B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{min} C^*(S_1, \ldots, S_n) \quad \text{ with } \ \psi(r) = \psi(r)^*, r \in [0,1),$$

is a C^*-*subharmonic curve*, provided that for each $\gamma \in (0,1)$ and each C^*-harmonic curve φ on the closed interval on $[0,\gamma]$ such that $\varphi(r) = \varphi(r)^*$ for $r \in [0,\gamma]$, if $\psi(\gamma) \leq \varphi(\gamma)$, then $\psi(r) \leq \varphi(r)$ for any $r \in [0,\gamma]$. We remark that Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 have analogues for C^*-subharmonic curves. Since the proofs are similar, we shall omit them. Notice also that any sub-pluriharmonic curve is C^*-subharmonic.

Finally, we mention that all the results of this section can be written for sub-pluriharmonic curves in the tensor algebra $B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{min} C^*(R_1, \ldots, R_n)$, where $R_1, \ldots, R_n$ are the right creation operators on the full Fock space.

2. Free pluriharmonic majorants and a characterization of $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$

In this section we show that, for any free holomorphic function Θ on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y})$, the mapping

$$\varphi : [0,1) \to B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{min} C^*(R_1, \ldots, R_n), \quad \varphi(r) = \Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)^* \Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n),$$

is a sub-pluriharmonic curve. We prove that Θ is in the Hardy space $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$ if and only if φ has a pluriharmonic majorant. In this case, we find Herglotz-Riesz and Poisson type representations for the least pluriharmonic majorant of φ.

First, we introduce some notation. Recall that H_n is an n-dimensional complex Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$, and the full Fock space of H_n is defined by $F^2(H_n) := \mathbb{C}1 \oplus \bigoplus_{k\geq 1} H_n^{\otimes k}$. Let $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ be the unital free semigroup on n generators $g_1, \ldots, g_n$, and the identity g_0. We denote $e_\alpha := e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_k}$ if $\alpha = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k}$, where $i_1, \ldots, i_k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and $e_{g_0} := 1$. Note that $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+}$ is an orthonormal basis for $F^2(H_n)$. We denote by $\tilde{\alpha}$ the reverse of $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, i.e., $\tilde{\alpha} = g_{i_k} \cdots g_{i_k}$ if $\alpha = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k} \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$.

Let $\Theta : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \to B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y}) \otimes_{min} B(\mathcal{H})$ be a free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y})$ (in this case we denote $\Theta \in H_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y}))$). Assume that Θ has the representation

$$\Theta(X_1, \ldots, X_n) := \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha. \tag{2.1}$$

We say that Θ is in the noncommutative Hardy space $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$ if there is a constant $c > 0$ such that $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} A^*_{(\alpha)} A_{(\alpha)} \leq c I_{\mathcal{E}}$. When we want to emphasize that the coefficients of Θ are in $B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y})$, we denote $\Theta \in H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y}))$. If we set $\|\Theta\|_2 := \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} A^*_{(\alpha)} A_{(\alpha)} \right\|^{1/2} < \infty$, then $(H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}, \|\cdot\|_2)$ is a Banach space. We associate with each $\Theta \in H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$ the operator $\Gamma : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{Y} \otimes F^2(H_n)$ defined by

$$\Gamma x := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} A_{(\alpha)} x \otimes e_{\tilde{\alpha}}, \quad x \in \mathcal{E}. \tag{2.2}$$

We call Γ the *symbol* of Θ. We will see later that $\Gamma x = \lim_{r\to 1}\Theta(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)(x\otimes 1)$, $x\in\mathcal{E}$. Conversely, if Γ is an operator given by (2.2), then relation (2.1) defines a free holomorphic function Θ in $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$. Moreover, one can show that $\Theta\in H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$ and its symbol Γ uniquely determine each other.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Θ be a free holomorphic function in $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{Y}))$ and let Γ be its symbol. Then Θ has the state space realization*

$$\Theta(X_1,\dots,X_n)=\left[E_{\mathcal{Y}}^*(I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes P_{\mathbb{C}})\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}\right]\left[I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes\left(I_{F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}}-\sum_{i=1}^n S_i^*\otimes X_i\right)^{-1}\right](\Gamma\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})$$

for $(X_1,\dots,X_n)\in[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, where $E_{\mathcal{Y}}:\mathcal{Y}\to\mathcal{Y}\otimes F^2(H_n)$ is defined by setting $E_{\mathcal{Y}}y=y\otimes 1$, and $P_{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the orthogonal projection of $F^2(H_n)$ on $\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Assume that Θ has the representation $\Theta(X_1,\dots,X_n):=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k}A_{(\alpha)}\otimes X_\alpha$ for some coefficients $A_{(\alpha)}\in B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{Y})$, and let $\Gamma:\mathcal{E}\to\mathcal{Y}\otimes F^2(H_n)$ be its symbol defined by relation (2.2). Notice that

$$A_{(\alpha)}=E_{\mathcal{Y}}^*(I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes P_{\mathbb{C}})(I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_{\tilde\alpha}^*)\Gamma,\qquad \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(X_1,\dots,X_n)&=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k}\left[E_{\mathcal{Y}}^*(I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes P_{\mathbb{C}})(I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_{\tilde\alpha}^*)\Gamma\right]\otimes X_\alpha\\&=\left[E_{\mathcal{Y}}^*(I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes P_{\mathbb{C}})\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}\right]\left[\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k}(I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_{\tilde\alpha}^*)\otimes X_\alpha\right](\Gamma\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})\\&=\left[E_{\mathcal{Y}}^*(I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes P_{\mathbb{C}})\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}\right]\left(I_{\mathcal{Y}\otimes F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}}-\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_i^*\otimes X_i\right)^{-1}(\Gamma\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})\end{aligned}$$

for any $(X_1,\dots,X_n)\in[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. This completes the proof. □

Now we introduce a large class of sub-pluriharmonic functions.

Theorem 2.2. *Let Θ be a free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{Y})$. Then the map*

$$\varphi(r):=\Theta(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)^*\Theta(rR_1,\dots,rR_n),\quad r\in[0,1),$$

is a sub-pluriharmonic curve in the tensor algebra $B(\mathcal{E})\otimes_{min}C^*(R_1,\dots,R_n)$.

Proof. First, assume that Θ is a free holomorphic function in $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$ and let Γ be its symbol (see (2.2)). Define the free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned}W(X_1,\dots,X_n):=(\Gamma^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})&\left(I_{\mathcal{Y}\otimes F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}}+\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_i^*\otimes X_i\right)\\&\left(I_{\mathcal{Y}\otimes F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}}-\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_i^*\otimes X_i\right)^{-1}(\Gamma\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}).\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

Consider the noncommutative Cauchy kernel

$$\Phi(X_1,\dots,X_n):=\left(I_{\mathcal{Y}\otimes F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}}-\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_i^*\otimes X_i\right)^{-1},\qquad (X_1,\dots,X_n)\in[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1.$$

Notice that Φ is a free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(X_1,\ldots,X_n) &= I_{\mathcal{Y}\otimes F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}} + \Phi(X_1,\ldots,X_n)\left(\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_i^*\otimes X_i\right)\\ &= I_{\mathcal{Y}\otimes F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_i^*\otimes X_i\right)\Phi(X_1,\ldots,X_n).\end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

A closer look at the definition of the free holomorphic function W (see (2.3)) reveals that

$$W(X_1,\ldots,X_n) = (\Gamma^*\Gamma\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) + 2(\Gamma^*\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})\left(\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_i^*\otimes X_i\right)\Phi(X_1,\ldots,X_n)(\Gamma\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}).\tag{2.5}$$

Now, we use Lemma 2.1 when $\mathcal{H} := F^2(H_n)$ and $X_i := rR_i$, $i=1,\ldots,n$, for $r\in[0,1)$. Note that due to the fact that $P_{\mathbb{C}} = I_{F^2(H_n)} - S_1S_1^* - \cdots - S_nS_n^*$ and using relation (2.4), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Theta(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*\Theta(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)\\
&\quad= (\Gamma^*\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\left(I_{\mathcal{Y}\otimes F^2(H_n)\otimes F^2(H_n)} - \sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_i\otimes rR_i^*\right)^{-1}\\
&\qquad\qquad\times (I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes P_{\mathbb{C}}\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\left(I_{\mathcal{Y}\otimes F^2(H_n)\otimes F^2(H_n)} - \sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_i^*\otimes rR_i\right)^{-1}\\
&\quad= (\Gamma^*\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\Phi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*\left[I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes\left(I_{F^2(H_n)} - \sum_{i=1}^n S_iS_i^*\right)\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}\right]\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\Phi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)(\Gamma\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\\
&\quad= (\Gamma^*\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\Phi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*\Phi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)(\Gamma\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\\
&\qquad - (\Gamma^*\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\Phi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*\left[I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes\left(\sum_{i=1}^n S_iS_i^*\right)\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}\right]\Phi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)(\Gamma\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\\
&\quad= (\Gamma^*\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\left[I_{\mathcal{Y}\otimes F^2(H_n)\otimes F^2(H_n)} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_i\otimes rR_i^*\right)\Phi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*\right]\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\left[I_{\mathcal{Y}\otimes F^2(H_n)\otimes F^2(H_n)} + \Phi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)\left(\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_i\otimes rR_i^*\right)\right](\Gamma\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\\
&\qquad - (\Gamma^*\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\Phi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*\left[I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes\left(\sum_{i=1}^n S_iS_i^*\right)\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}\right]\Phi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)(\Gamma\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\\
&\quad= (\Gamma^*\Gamma\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}) + (\Gamma^*\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\left(\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_i\otimes rR_i^*\right)\Phi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*(\Gamma\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\\
&\qquad + (\Gamma^*\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\Phi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)\left(\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_i^*\otimes rR_i\right)(\Gamma\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\\
&\qquad + (\Gamma^*\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\Phi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*\left(\sum_{j=1}^n I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_j\otimes rR_j^*\right)\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\left(\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_i^*\otimes rR_i\right)\Phi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)(\Gamma\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\\
&\qquad - (\Gamma^*\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\Phi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*\left(\sum_{j=1}^n I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_jS_j^*\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}\right)\Phi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)(\Gamma\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence and using relation (2.5), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Theta(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*\Theta(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)\\
&\quad=\frac{1}{2}\left[W(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)+W(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*\right]-(1-r^2)(\Gamma^*\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\Phi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\left(\sum_{j=1}^n I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_jS_j^*\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}\right)\Phi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)(\Gamma\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})
\end{aligned}\tag{2.6}$$

for any $r\in[0,1)$. Consequently, we have

$$\Theta(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*\Theta(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)\leq\frac{1}{2}\left[W(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)+W(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*\right]\tag{2.7}$$

for any $r\in[0,1)$, which proves that W is a free holomorphic function with positive real part.

For each $s\in[0,1)$, define the operator $\Lambda_s:\mathcal{Y}\otimes F^2(H_n)\to\mathcal{Y}\otimes F^2(H_n)$ by setting

$$\Lambda_s\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}h_\alpha\otimes e_\alpha\right):=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}h_\alpha\otimes s^{|\alpha|}e_\alpha,\qquad\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}\|h_\alpha\|^2<\infty.$$

It is easy to see that Λ_s is a positive operator such that $\|\Lambda_s\|\leq 1$ and $\lim_{s\to1}\Lambda_s=I$ in the strong operator topology. Note also that

$$\Lambda_s(I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_i)=s(I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_i)\Lambda_s,\qquad i=1,\ldots,n.\tag{2.8}$$

Fix $s\in[0,1)$ and set $\Theta_s(X_1,\ldots,X_n):=\Theta(sX_1,\ldots,sX_n)$ for $X_1,\ldots,X_n)\in[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. It clear that Θ_s is free holomorphic on an open neighborhood of $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ and, therefore, continuous on the closed ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$. Let Γ_s be the symbol operator associated with Θ_s (see relation (2.2)) and let W_s be the operator associated to Γ_s (by relation (2.5)). Then we have $\Gamma_s=\Lambda_s\Gamma$, where Γ is the symbol associated with Θ. Due to relations (2.5) and (2.8), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
W_s(X_1,\ldots,X_n)&=(\Gamma^*\Lambda_s^2\Gamma\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})+2(\Gamma^*\Lambda_s\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})\left(\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_i^*\otimes X_i\right)\Phi(X_1,\ldots,X_n)(\Lambda_s\Gamma\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})\\
&=(\Gamma^*\Lambda_s^2\Gamma\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})+2(\Gamma^*\Lambda_s^2\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})\left(\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_i^*\otimes sX_i\right)\Phi(sX_1,\ldots,sX_n)(\Gamma\otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, W_s is a free holomorphic function on an open set containing the closed ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$, and

$$\text{SOT-}\lim_{s\to1}W_s=W.\tag{2.9}$$

Now, from the first part of the proof (see (2.6)), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Re}W_s(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)-\Theta_s(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*\Theta_s(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)\\
&\quad=(1-r^2)(\Gamma_s^*\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\Phi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*\left(\sum_{j=1}^n I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes r^2S_jS_j^*\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}\right)\\
&\qquad\quad\times\Phi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)(\Gamma_s\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\\
&\quad=(1-r^2)(\Gamma^*\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\Phi(srR_1,\ldots,srR_n)^*(\Lambda_s\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\\
&\qquad\qquad\times\left(\sum_{j=1}^n I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes r^2S_jS_j^*\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}\right)(\Lambda_s\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\Phi(srR_1,\ldots,srR_n)(\Gamma\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})
\end{aligned}$$

for any $r\in[0,1)$. Hence we deduce that

$$\operatorname{Re}W_s(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)\geq\Theta_s(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*\Theta_s(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)\tag{2.10}$$

for any $r\in[0,1)$. Moreover, taking into account the continuity (in the norm operator topology) of the free holomorphic functions Θ_s and W_s on the closed ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$, the continuity of the map

$$[0,1]\ni r\mapsto\Phi(srR_1,\ldots,srR_n),$$

we deduce that

$$\operatorname{Re} W_s(R_1,\ldots,R_n) = \Theta_s(R_1,\ldots,R_n)^*\Theta_s(R_1,\ldots,R_n) \tag{2.11}$$

for any $s\in[0,1)$.

Now, we prove that the map φ is a sub-pluriharmonic curve. Fix $s\in(0,1)$ and assume that u is a free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_s$ and continuous on the closed ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_s^-$ such that

$$\Theta(sR_1,\ldots,sR_n)^*\Theta(sR_1,\ldots,sR_n) \le \operatorname{Re} u(sR_1,\ldots,sR_n). \tag{2.12}$$

Let $t\in(s,1)$ and set $\Theta' := \Theta_t$. Since Θ' is in $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$, let W' be the free holomorphic function associated with Θ' (according to relation 2.3). Now, we can apply the results above (see (2.11)) to Θ' and W' and obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} W'_\tau(R_1,\ldots,R_n) = \Theta'_\tau(R_1,\ldots,R_n)^*\Theta'_\tau(R_1,\ldots,R_n) \tag{2.13}$$

for any $\tau\in[0,1)$. Using (2.13) and (2.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Re} W'_{\frac{s}{t}}(R_1,\ldots,R_n) &= \Theta'_{\frac{s}{t}}(R_1,\ldots,R_n)^*\Theta'_{\frac{s}{t}}(R_1,\ldots,R_n)\\ &= \Theta(sR_1,\ldots,sR_n)^*\Theta(sR_1,\ldots,sR_n)\\ &\le \operatorname{Re} u(sR_1,\ldots,sR_n).\end{aligned}$$

Employing the noncommutative Poisson transform, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Re} W'_{\frac{s}{t}}(\gamma R_1,\ldots,\gamma R_n) &= \mathbf{P}_{\gamma R}\left[\operatorname{Re} W'_{\frac{s}{t}}(R_1,\ldots,R_n)\right]\\ &\le \mathbf{P}_{\gamma R}\left[\operatorname{Re} u(sR_1,\ldots,sR_n)\right]\\ &\le \operatorname{Re} u(\gamma sR_1,\ldots,\gamma sR_n)\end{aligned}$$

for any $\gamma\in[0,1)$. Consequently, applying inequality (2.10) to Θ' and W', we have

$$\Theta'_{\frac{s}{t}}(\gamma R_1,\ldots,\gamma R_n)^*\Theta'_{\frac{s}{t}}(\gamma R_1,\ldots,\gamma R_n) \le \operatorname{Re} W'_{\frac{s}{t}}(\gamma R_1,\ldots,\gamma R_n) \le \operatorname{Re} u(\gamma sR_1,\ldots,\gamma sR_n)$$

for any $\gamma\in[0,1)$. Hence, we deduce that

$$\Theta(s\gamma R_1,\ldots,s\gamma R_n)^*\Theta(s\gamma R_1,\ldots,s\gamma R_n) \le \operatorname{Re} u(s\gamma R_1,\ldots,s\gamma R_n)$$

for any $\gamma\in[0,1)$. Therefore,

$$\Theta(tR_1,\ldots,tR_n)^*\Theta(tR_1,\ldots,tR_n) \le \operatorname{Re} u(tR_1,\ldots,tR_n)$$

for any $t\in[0,s]$. This proves that φ is a sub-pluriharmonic curve. The proof is complete. □

Let $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}$, $m=0,1,\ldots$, be the set of all polynomials of degree $\le m$ in $e_1,\ldots,e_n$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{P}^{(m)} := \operatorname{span}\{e_\alpha:\ \alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+, |\alpha|\le m\}\subset F^2(H_n),$$

and define the nilpotent operators $R_i^{(m)}:\mathcal{P}^{(m)}\to\mathcal{P}^{(m)}$ by

$$R_i^{(m)} := P_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}} R_i|_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}},\quad i=1,\ldots,n,$$

where $R_1,\ldots,R_n$ are the right creation operators on the Fock space $F^2(H_n)$ and $P_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}}$ is the orthogonal projection of $F^2(H_n)$ onto $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}$. Notice that $R_\alpha^{(m)}=0$ if $|\alpha|\ge m+1$.

We can provide now a characterization of the noncommutative Hardy space $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$ in terms of pluriharmonic majorants.

Theorem 2.3. *Let Θ be a free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{Y})$. Then Θ is in $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$ if and only if the map φ defined by*

$$\varphi(r) := \Theta(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^*\Theta(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n),\quad r\in[0,1),$$

has a pluriharmonic majorant. In this case, the least pluriharmonic majorant ψ for φ is given by

$$\psi(r) := \operatorname{Re} W(rR_1,\ldots rR_n),\quad r\in[0,1), \tag{2.14}$$

where W is the free holomorphic function having the Herglotz-Riesz type representation

$$W(X_1,\dots,X_n)=(\mu_\theta\otimes\mathrm{id})\left[\left(I+\sum_{i=1}^n R_i^*\otimes X_i\right)\left(I-\sum_{i=1}^n R_i^*\otimes X_i\right)^{-1}\right] \tag{2.15}$$

for $(X_1,\dots,X_n)\in[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, where $\mu_\theta:\mathcal{R}_n^*+\mathcal{R}_n\to B(\mathcal{E})$ is the completely positive linear map uniquely determined by the equation

$$\langle\mu_\theta(R_{\tilde\alpha}^*)x,y\rangle:=\lim_{r\to1}\langle\Theta(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)^*(I_{\mathcal{Y}}\otimes S_{\tilde\alpha}^*)\Theta(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)(x\otimes1),(y\otimes1)\rangle \tag{2.16}$$

for $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+$ and $x,y\in\mathcal{E}$.

Proof. According to Theorem 2.2, φ is a sub-pluriharmonic curve in $B(\mathcal{E})\otimes_{min}C^*(R_1,\dots,R_n)$. Assume that φ has a pluriharmonic majorant. Let u be a free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\varphi(r)\le u(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)$ for any $r\in[0,1)$. Let Θ have the representation

$$\Theta(X_1,\dots,X_n):=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k}A_{(\alpha)}\otimes X_\alpha,\qquad (X_1,\dots,X_n)\in[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1, \tag{2.17}$$

and let u have the representation

$$u(X_1,\dots,X_n)=\sum_{k=1}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k}B_{(\alpha)}^*\otimes X_\alpha^*+\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k}B_{(\alpha)}\otimes X_\alpha,\qquad (X_1,\dots,X_n)\in[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1.$$

Notice that $B_{(0)}\ge0$ and, for each $h\in\mathcal{E}$ and $r\in[0,1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}r^{2|\alpha|}\|A_{(\alpha)}h\|^2&=\|\Theta(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)(h\otimes1)\|^2\\&=\langle\varphi(r)(h\otimes1),h\otimes1\rangle\le\langle u(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)(h\otimes1),h\otimes1\rangle\\&=\langle u(0)(h\otimes1),h\otimes1\rangle=\left\langle B_{(0)}h,h\right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we deduce that there is a constant $c>0$ such that $\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+}A_{(\alpha)}^*A_{(\alpha)}\le cI_{\mathcal{E}}$. This shows that Θ is in $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$.

Conversely, assume that Θ is in $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$. A closer look at the proof of Theorem 2.2 (see relation (2.7)) shows that $\operatorname{Re}W$ is a pluriharmonic majorant for φ, where W is given by relation (2.3). It remains to show that $\operatorname{Re}W$ is the least pluriharmonic majorant for φ and satisfies relation (2.15). Let G be a free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\operatorname{Re}G\ge0$ and

$$\Theta(tR_1,\dots,tR_n)^*\Theta(tR_1,\dots,tR_n)\le\operatorname{Re}G(tR_1,\dots,tR_n)$$

for any $t\in[0,1)$. If $s\in(0,1)$, then we have

$$\Theta_s(R_1,\dots,R_n)^*\Theta_s(R_1,\dots,R_n)\le\operatorname{Re}G(sR_1,\dots,sR_n).$$

Using (2.11), we deduce that

$$\operatorname{Re}W_s(R_1,\dots,R_n)\le\operatorname{Re}G(sR_1,\dots,sR_n).$$

Taking the compression to $\mathcal{E}\otimes\mathcal{P}^{(m)}$ (see the definition preceding this theorem), we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re}W_s(R_1^{(m)},\dots,R_n^{(m)})\le\operatorname{Re}G(sR_1^{(m)},\dots,sR_n^{(m)}).$$

Now, using relation (2.9) and taking $s\to1$, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re}W(R_1^{(m)},\dots,R_n^{(m)})\le\operatorname{Re}G(R_1^{(m)},\dots,R_n^{(m)})$$

for any $m=0,1,\dots$. According to [56], we deduce that $\operatorname{Re}W\le\operatorname{Re}G$, which shows that the map $\psi(r):=\operatorname{Re}W(rR_1,\dots rR_n)$, $r\in[0,1)$, is the least pluriharmonic majorant for φ.

Notice that, due to relation (2.5), we have

$$W(X_1,\dots,X_n)=\sum_{k=0}^\infty\sum_{|\alpha|=k}C_{(\alpha)}\otimes X_\alpha,\qquad (X_1,\dots,X_n)\in[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

where

$$C_{(0)} = \Gamma^*\Gamma \quad \text{and} \quad C_{(\alpha)} = 2\Gamma^*(I_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes S^*_{\tilde{\alpha}})\Gamma \quad \text{if} \quad |\alpha| \geq 1. \tag{2.18}$$

Using the definition of Γ and the representation (2.17), we deduce that, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Gamma^*(I_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes S^*_{\tilde{\alpha}})\Gamma x, y\rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n} A_{(\beta)}x \otimes e_{\tilde{\beta}}, (I_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes S^*_{\tilde{\alpha}}) \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n} A_{(\gamma)} x \otimes e_{\tilde{\gamma}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \left\langle A_{(\beta)}x, A_{(\gamma)}y \right\rangle \left\langle e_{\tilde{\beta}}, e_{\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\gamma}} \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \left\langle A_{(\gamma\alpha)}x, A_{(\gamma)}y \right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\Gamma^*(I_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes S^*_{\tilde{\alpha}})\Gamma = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} A_{(\gamma)} A_{(\gamma\alpha)}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, where the convergence is in the week operator topology.

On the other hand, notice that the limit in (2.16) exists. Indeed, since Θ is in the Hardy space $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$, we have $\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \|A_\beta x\|^2 \leq c\|x\|^2$ for some constant $c > 0$. This implies

$$\lim_{r \to 1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n} r^{|\beta|} A_{(\beta)} x \otimes e_{\tilde{\beta}} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n} A_{(\beta)} x \otimes e_{\tilde{\beta}},$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{r \to 1} \langle \Theta(rR_1, \dots, rR_n)^*(I_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes S^*_{\tilde{\alpha}})\Theta(rR_1, \dots, rR_n)(x \otimes 1), (y \otimes 1)\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n} r^{|\beta|} A_{(\beta)}x \otimes e_{\tilde{\beta}}, (I_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes S^*_{\tilde{\alpha}}) \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n} r^{|\gamma|} A_{(\gamma)} x \otimes e_{\tilde{\gamma}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n} A_{(\beta)}x \otimes e_{\tilde{\beta}}, (I_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes S^*_{\tilde{\alpha}}) \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n} A_{(\gamma)} x \otimes e_{\tilde{\gamma}} \right) \right\rangle \\
&= \langle \Gamma^*(I_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes S^*_{\tilde{\alpha}})\Gamma x, y\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mu_\theta(R^*_{\tilde{\alpha}}) = \Gamma^*(I_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes S^*_{\tilde{\alpha}})\Gamma$ and $\mu_\theta(R_{\tilde{\alpha}}) = \Gamma^*(I_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes S_{\tilde{\alpha}})\Gamma$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Consequently,

$$\mu_\theta(p(R_1, \dots, R_n)) = \Gamma^*[I_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes p(S_1, \dots, S_n)]\Gamma$$

for any polynomial $p(R_1, \dots, R_n) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (b_\alpha R^*_\alpha + a_\alpha R_\alpha)$ in $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$. This implies that μ_θ has a unique extension to a completely positive linear map on $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$. According to relation (2.18), we have

$$C_{(0)} = \mu_\theta(I) \quad \text{and} \quad C_{(\alpha)} = 2\mu_\theta(R^*_{\tilde{\alpha}}) \quad \text{if} \quad |\alpha| \geq 1.$$

Hence and due to the fact that μ_θ is a bounded linear map, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{\mu}_\theta \left[\left(I + \sum_{i=1}^n R_i^* \otimes X_i \right) \left(I - \sum_{i=1}^n R_i^* \otimes X_i \right)^{-1} \right] \\
&\qquad = \widetilde{\mu}_\theta \left[I + 2 \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha| = k} R^*_{\tilde{\alpha}} \otimes X_\alpha \right] \\
&\qquad = \mu_\theta(I) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha| = k} \mu(R^*_{\tilde{\alpha}}) \otimes X_\alpha \\
&\qquad = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha| = k} C_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha = W(X_1, \dots, X_n),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves relation (2.15). This completes the proof. □

We recall [56] that, in the particular case when $f = I$, the identity on $F^2(H_n)$, and μ is a bounded linear functional on $C^*(R_1, \ldots, R_n)$, then the Berezin transform $B_\mu(I, \cdot)$ coincides with the noncommutative Poisson transform $\mathcal{P}\mu : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \to B(\mathcal{H})$ associated with μ, i.e.,

$$(\mathcal{P}\mu)(X_1, \ldots, X_n) := (\mu \otimes \mathrm{id})\,[P(R, X)], \qquad X := (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

where the Poisson kernel is given by

$$P(R, X) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha=k} R_{\widetilde{\alpha}} \otimes X_\alpha^* + I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha=k} R_{\widetilde{\alpha}}^* \otimes X_\alpha$$

and the series are convergent in the operator norm topology. In the particular case when $n = 1$, $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}$, $X = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, and μ is a complex Borel measure on $\mathbb{T}$, the Poisson transform $\mathcal{P}\mu$ can be identified with the classical Poisson transform of μ, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\theta - t)\, d\mu(t),$$

where $P_r(\theta - t) := \frac{1-r^2}{1-2r\cos(\theta-t)+r^2}$ is the Poisson kernel.

Using now Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 2.3, we can deduce the following result.

Corollary 2.4. *Let Θ be in $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$ and have the representation*

$$\Theta(X_1, \ldots, X_n) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha, \qquad (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1.$$

Under the conditions of Theorem 2.3, the least pluriharmonic majorant $\operatorname{Re} W$ *satisfies the relations*

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re} W(X_1, \ldots, X_n) &= \lim_{t \to 1} \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{t}X} [\Theta(tR_1, \ldots, tR_n)^* \Theta(tR_1, \ldots, tR_n)] \\
&= (\mathcal{P}\mu_\theta)(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} D_{(\alpha)}^* \otimes X_\alpha^* + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} D_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha
\end{aligned}$$

for any $X := (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, where $\mathcal{P}\mu_\theta$ is the noncommutative Poisson transform of μ_θ and

$$D_{(\alpha)} = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} A_{(\gamma)} A_{(\gamma\alpha)}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+,$$

where the convergence is in the week operator topology.

3. THE UNIT BALL OF $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$, GEOMETRIC STRUCTURE, AND REPRESENTATIONS

In this section we obtain a characterization of the unit ball of $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$ and provide a parametrization and concrete representations of all pluriharmonic majorants for the sub-pluriharmonic curve

$$\varphi(r) := \Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)^* \Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n), \qquad r \in [0, 1),$$

where Θ is in the unit ball of $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$.

We need a few notations. As in the previous section, we denote by $H_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y}))$ the set of all free holomorphic functions on the noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ and coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y})$. Let $H^\infty_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y}))$ denote the set of all elements F in $H_{\mathrm{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y}))$ such that

$$\|F\|_\infty := \sup \|F(X_1, \ldots, X_n)\| < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all n-tuples of operators $(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Denote by $H^+_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E}))$ the set of all free holomorphic functions f on the noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a separable Hilbert space, such that $\operatorname{Re} f \geq 0$. Consider the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_1^+(B(\mathcal{E})) &:= \left\{ f \in H^+_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E})) : \ f(0) = I \right\} \text{ and} \\ \mathbf{H}_0^\infty(B(\mathcal{E})) &:= \{ g \in H^\infty_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E})) : \ g(0) = 0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

According to [57], the *noncommutative Cayley transform* is a bijection

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}} : \mathbf{H}_1^+(B(\mathcal{E})) \to [\mathbf{H}_0^\infty(B(\mathcal{E}))]_{\leq 1} \qquad \text{defined by } \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}[f] := g,$$

where $g \in [\mathbf{H}_0^\infty(B(\mathcal{E}))]_{\leq 1}$ is uniquely determined by the formal power series $(\widetilde{f} - 1)(1 + \widetilde{f})^{-1}$, where $\widetilde{f}$ is the power series associated with f. In this case, we have

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}[G](X) = [I + G(X)][I - G(X)]^{-1}, \qquad X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1.$$

We recall that if $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}'$ is a contraction, then $D_T := (I - T^*T)^{1/2}$ and $\mathcal{D}_T := \overline{D_T \mathcal{H}}$. The first result of this section provides a parametrization for the pluriharmonic majorants of $\Theta^*\Theta$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let Θ be a free holomorphic function in the unit ball of $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y}))$, and let F be a free holomorphic function in $H_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E}))$ such that $F(0) = I$. Then*

$$\Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)^* \Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n) \leq \operatorname{Re} F(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n) \quad \text{for } \ r \in [0, 1), \tag{3.1}$$

if and only if there exists G in the unit ball of $\mathbf{H}_0^\infty(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma))$ such that

$$F(X) = W(X) + (D_\Gamma \otimes I)\, [I + G(X)][I - G(X)]^{-1}\, (D_\Gamma \otimes I)\,, \quad X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1, \tag{3.2}$$

where Γ is the symbol of Θ and W is defined by relation (2.3)*. Moreover, F and G uniquely determine each other.*

Proof. Let G be in the unit ball of $\mathbf{H}_0^\infty(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma))$ and define F by relation (3.2). Due to (2.5), we have $W(0) = \Gamma^*\Gamma \otimes I_\mathcal{H}$. Since $G(0) = I$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} F(0) &= W(0) + (D_\Gamma \otimes I_\mathcal{H})\, [I + G(0)][I - G(0)]^{-1}\, (D_\Gamma \otimes I_\mathcal{H}) \\ &= (\Gamma^*\Gamma + D_\Gamma^2) \otimes I_\mathcal{H} = I. \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 2.2 (see (2.7)), we have

$$\Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)^* \Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n) \leq \operatorname{Re} W(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n) \quad \text{for } \ r \in [0, 1). \tag{3.3}$$

Due to the properties of the noncommutative Cayley transform, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}[G](rR_1, \ldots, rR_n) \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } \ r \in [0, 1).$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} F(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n) &= \operatorname{Re} W(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n) + (D_\Gamma \otimes I)\, [\operatorname{Re} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}[G](rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)]\, (D_\Gamma \otimes I) \\ &\geq \Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)^* \Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n) \end{aligned}$$

for any $r \in [0, 1)$. Therefore, F satisfies inequality (3.1).

Conversely, assume that F is a free holomorphic function with $F(0) = I$ and satisfying relation (3.1). According to Theorem 2.3,

$$\operatorname{Re} F(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n) \geq \operatorname{Re} W(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n) \quad \text{for any } \ r \in [0, 1).$$

Hence, $\Psi := F - W$ is a free holomorphic function with positive real part and

$$\Psi(0) = F(0) - W(0) = (I - \Gamma^*\Gamma) \otimes I_\mathcal{H} = D_\Gamma^2 \otimes I_\mathcal{H}.$$

We claim that Ψ has a unique factorization of the form

$$\Psi(X) = (D_\Gamma \otimes I_\mathcal{H}) \Lambda(X) (D_\Gamma \otimes I_\mathcal{H}), \quad X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1, \tag{3.4}$$

where Λ is a free holomorphic function with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma)$ such that $\Lambda(0) = I$ and $\operatorname{Re} \Lambda \geq 0$. To this end, assume that Ψ has the representation $\Psi(X) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} Q_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha$, $Q_{(\alpha)} \in B(\mathcal{E})$, with $Q_{(0)} = D_\Gamma^2$.

For each $k \geq 1$, consider the subspace $\mathcal{M} := \operatorname{span}\{1, e_\alpha :\ \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \text{ and } |\alpha| = k\}$. Notice that, for each $r \in [0,1)$, the positive operator

$$P_{\mathcal{E}\otimes\mathcal{M}}[\Psi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^* + \Psi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)]|_{\mathcal{E}\otimes\mathcal{M}}$$

has the operator matrix representation

$$M(r) := \begin{bmatrix} 2D_\Gamma^2 & [r^{|\alpha|}Q_{(\alpha)} :\ |\alpha| = k] \\ \begin{bmatrix} r^{|\alpha|}Q_{(\alpha)} \\ : \\ |\alpha| = k \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 2D_\Gamma^2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 2D_\Gamma^2 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $[r^{|\alpha|}Q_{(\alpha)} :\ |\alpha| = k]$ is the row operator with the entries $r^{|\alpha|}Q_{(\alpha)}$, $|\alpha| = k$. The notation for the column operator is now obvious. Taking $r \to 1$, we deduce that $M := M(1) \geq 0$.

We recall (see [24]) that a block operator matrix $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix}$, where $A \in B(\mathcal{H})$, $B \in B(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{H})$, and $C \in B(\mathcal{G})$, is positive if and only if A and C are positive and there exists a contraction $T : \overline{C\mathcal{G}} \to \overline{A\mathcal{H}}$ satisfying $B^* = C^{1/2}T^*A^{1/2}$. Applying this result to the operator M, we find a contraction

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z_{(\alpha)} \\ : \\ |\alpha| = k \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{D}_\Gamma \to \oplus_{|\alpha|=k}\mathcal{D}_\Gamma$$

such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q_{(\alpha)} \\ : \\ |\alpha| = k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2D_\Gamma & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 2D_\Gamma \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Z_{(\alpha)} \\ : \\ |\alpha| = k \end{bmatrix} D_\Gamma$$

for each $k \geq 1$. Hence, we have $Q_{(\alpha)} = D_\Gamma K_{(\alpha)} D_\Gamma$, where $K_{(0)} = I$ and $K_{(\alpha)} := 2Z_{(\alpha)}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\alpha| = k \geq 1$. Since $\sum_{|\alpha|=k} Z_{(\alpha)}^* Z_{(\alpha)} \leq I$, we deduce that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} K_{(\alpha)}^* K_{(\alpha)} \right\|^{\frac{1}{2k}} \leq 1$. This implies (see [53]) that

$$\Lambda(X_1,\ldots,X_n) = \sum_{k=0} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} K_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha, \quad (X_1,\ldots,X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

is a free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Using the relations above, we deduce the factorization $\Psi(X) = (D_\Gamma \otimes I_\mathcal{H})\Lambda(X)(D_\Gamma \otimes I_\mathcal{H})$, $\quad X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$.

Now, since the operator $\Lambda(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)$, $r \in [0,1)$, is acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma \otimes F^2(H_n)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\Psi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^* + \Psi(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n) \\ &\qquad = (D_\Gamma \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})[\Lambda(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^* + \Lambda(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)](D_\Gamma \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}), \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that $\Lambda(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n)^* + \Lambda(rR_1,\ldots,rR_n) \geq 0$ for any $r \in [0,1)$. Using the noncommutative Poisson transform, we have $\operatorname{Re}\Lambda \geq 0$, which proves our claim. Due to the properties of the Cayley transform, $G := \boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}[\Lambda]$ is in the unit ball of $H_0^\infty(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma))$. Finally, since $\Psi := F - W$ and using the factorization (3.4), we deduce (3.2). The fact that F and G uniquely determine each other is now obvious since the Cayley transform is a bijection. This completes the proof. ☐

Corollary 3.2. *Under the conditions of Theorem 3.1, there is only one F satisfying* (3.1) *if and only if Γ is an isometry. In this case, $F = W$.*

According to [53] and [56], the noncommutative Hardy space $H_{\text{ball}}^\infty(B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{Y}))$ can be identified to the operator space $B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{Y})\bar{\otimes}F_n^\infty$ (the weakly closed operator space generated by the spatial tensor product), where F_n^∞ is the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra (see [40], [39], [43]). We consider the noncommutative Schur class

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{Y})) := \{G \in H_{\mathbf{ball}}^\infty(B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{Y})) :\ \|G\|_\infty \leq 1\},$$

which can be identified to the unit ball of the operator space $B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{Y})\bar{\otimes}F_n^\infty$. We also use the notation

$$\mathcal{S}^0_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{Y})) := \{G \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{Y})) :\ G(0)=0\}.$$

In what follows we use the notation $\mathcal{E}^{(n)}$ for the direct sum of n-copies of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{E}$. The next results provides a Schur type representation for the unit ball of $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\Theta \in H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{Y}))$ be such that $\|\Theta\|_2 \leq 1$, and let $\Gamma_\theta : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{Y} \otimes F^2(H_n)$ be its symbol. Then there is a one-to-one correspondence J_Θ between the noncommutative Schur class $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_\theta},\mathcal{D}^{(n)}_{\Gamma_\theta}))$ and the set of all function matrices $\begin{bmatrix} L\\ M_1\\ \vdots\\ M_n\end{bmatrix}$ in the noncommutative Schur class $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{Y}\oplus\mathcal{E}^{(n)}))$ satisfying the equation*

$$\Theta(X) = L(X)\left[I_{\mathcal{E}\otimes\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i=1}^n (I_\mathcal{E}\otimes X_i)M_i(X)\right]^{-1} \quad \text{for } X:=(X_1,\dots,X_n)\in[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1. \tag{3.5}$$

More precisely, the map

$$J_\Theta : \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_\theta},\mathcal{D}^{(n)}_{\Gamma_\theta})) \to \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{Y}\oplus\mathcal{E}^{(n)}))$$

is defined by setting

$$J_\Theta \begin{bmatrix}\varphi_1\\ \vdots\\ \varphi_n\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L\\ M_1\\ \vdots\\ M_n\end{bmatrix},$$

where $L \in H_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{Y}))$ is given by

$$L(X) = 2\Theta(X)[F(X)+I]^{-1}, \quad X\in[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1, \tag{3.6}$$

the free holomorphic function $F\in H_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E}))$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F(X) := (\Gamma_\theta^*\otimes I_\mathcal{H})&\left(I_{\mathcal{Y}\otimes F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}} + \sum_{i=1}^n I_\mathcal{Y}\otimes S_i^*\otimes X_i\right)\\ &\times\left(I_{\mathcal{Y}\otimes F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i=1}^n I_\mathcal{Y}\otimes S_i^*\otimes X_i\right)^{-1}(\Gamma_\theta\otimes I_\mathcal{H})\\ &+(D_{\Gamma_\theta}\otimes I_\mathcal{H})\left[I+\sum_{i=1}^n(I_\mathcal{E}\otimes X_i)\varphi_i(X)\right]\left[I-\sum_{i=1}^n(I_\mathcal{E}\otimes X_i)\varphi_i(X)\right]^{-1}(D_{\Gamma_\theta}\otimes I_\mathcal{H}),\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

and $M_1,\dots,M_n\in H_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E}))$ are uniquely determined by the equation

$$(I_\mathcal{E}\otimes X_1)M_1+\cdots+(I_\mathcal{E}\otimes X_n)M_n = [F(X)-I][F(X)+I]^{-1}, \quad X:=(X_1,\dots,X_n)\in[B(\mathcal{H})]_1. \tag{3.8}$$

In particular, the representation (3.5) is unique if and only if Γ_θ is an isometry.

Proof. Assume that $\Theta\in H_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{Y}))$ and $\|\Theta\|_2\leq 1$. Consider $\Phi := \begin{bmatrix}\varphi_1\\ \vdots\\ \varphi_n\end{bmatrix}$ in the noncommutative Schur class $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_\theta},\mathcal{D}^{(n)}_{\Gamma_\theta}))$. It is easy to see that $\Phi\in\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_\theta},\mathcal{D}^{(n)}_{\Gamma_\theta}))$ if and only if the function

$$\chi(X) := (I_\mathcal{E}\otimes X_1)\varphi_1(X)+\cdots+(I_\mathcal{E}\otimes X_n)\varphi_n(X), \quad X:=(X_1,\dots,X_n)\in[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

is in $\mathcal{S}^0_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_\theta},\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_\theta}))$. Since

$$\left[I+\sum_{i=1}^n(I_\mathcal{E}\otimes X_i)\varphi_i(X)\right]\left[I-\sum_{i=1}^n(I_\mathcal{E}\otimes X_i)\varphi_i(X)\right]^{-1}$$

is the noncommutative Cayley transform of χ, it makes sense to define F by relation (3.7). According to Theorem 3.1, $F \in H_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E})$ has the properties $F(0) = I$, $\operatorname{Re} F \geq 0$, and

$$\Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)^*\Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n) \leq \operatorname{Re} F(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n) \quad \text{for } \ r \in [0, 1). \tag{3.9}$$

Since $F \in \mathbf{H}_1^+(B(\mathcal{E}))$, the noncommutative Cayley transform of F, i.e., $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}[F] := (F - I)(F + I)^{-1}$, is in $\mathbf{H}_0^\infty(B(\mathcal{E}))$ and $\|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}[F]\|_\infty \leq 1$. Consequently, there are some unique $M_1, \ldots, M_n \in H_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E}))$ such that

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}[F](X) = (I_\mathcal{E} \otimes X_1)M_1(X) + \cdots + (I_\mathcal{E} \otimes X_n)M_n(X), \quad X := (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})]_1.$$

Since $\|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}[F]\|_\infty \leq 1$, we deduce that $\|\sum_{i=1}^n (I_\mathcal{E} \otimes rS_i)M_i(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n)\| \leq 1$ for $r \in [0, 1)$. Taking into account that $S_1, \ldots, S_n$ are isometries with orthogonal ranges, we obtain the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^n r^2 M_i(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n)^* M_i(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n) \leq I.$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} M_1 \\ \vdots \\ M_n \end{bmatrix} \right\|_\infty = \lim_{r \to 1} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} M_1(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n) \\ \vdots \\ M_n(rS_1, \ldots, rS_n) \end{bmatrix} \right\| \leq 1,$$

which shows that $\begin{bmatrix} M_1 \\ \vdots \\ M_n \end{bmatrix}$ in is the noncommutative Schur class $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^{(n)}))$. Now, consider the free holomorphic function

$$\Psi(X) := (I_\mathcal{E} \otimes X_1)M_1(X) + \cdots + (I_\mathcal{E} \otimes X_n)M_n(X), \quad X := (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})]_1, \tag{3.10}$$

and notice that

$$\begin{aligned} I - \Psi &= I - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}[F](X) \\ &= [(F + I) - (F - I)](F + I)^{-1} \\ &= 2(F + I)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Hence, and defining L by relation (3.6), we deduce that $L = \Theta(I - \Psi)$. Consequently, $L \in H_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y}))$ and

$$\Theta(X) = L(X) \left[I_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i=1}^n (I_\mathcal{E} \otimes X_i)M_i(X) \right]^{-1} \quad \text{for } \ X := (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1.$$

Therefore, relation (3.5) holds. Now we show that $\begin{bmatrix} L \\ M_1 \\ \vdots \\ M_n \end{bmatrix}$ is in the Schur class $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{(n)}))$.

Since F is the inverse Cayley transform of Ψ, i.e., $F = (I + \Psi)(I - \Psi)^{-1}$, for any $r \in [0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Re} F(rR_1, \ldots rR_n) \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{2} \left[(I - \Psi(rR_1, \ldots rR_n)^*)^{-1}(I + \Psi(rR_1, \ldots rR_n)^* + (I + \Psi(rR_1, \ldots rR_n))(I - \Psi(rR_1, \ldots rR_n))^{-1} \right] \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{2}(I - \Psi(rR_1, \ldots rR_n)^*)^{-1} \left[(I + \Psi(rR_1, \ldots rR_n)^*)(I - \Psi(rR_1, \ldots rR_n)) \right. \\ &\qquad\qquad \left. + \ (I - \Psi(rR_1, \ldots rR_n)^*)(I + \Psi(rR_1, \ldots rR_n)) \right] (I - \Psi(rR_1, \ldots rR_n))^{-1} \\ &\quad = (I - \Psi(rR_1, \ldots rR_n)^*)^{-1}[I - \Psi(rR_1, \ldots rR_n)^*\Psi(rR_1, \ldots rR_n)](I - \Psi(rR_1, \ldots rR_n))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, and using relations (3.5), (3.9), and (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&(I-\Psi(rR_1,\dots rR_n)^*)^{-1}L(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)^*L(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)(I-\Psi(rR_1,\dots rR_n))^{-1}\\ &\qquad=\Theta(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)^*\Theta(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)\\ &\qquad\leq \operatorname{Re}F(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)\\ &\qquad=(I-\Psi(rR_1,\dots rR_n)^*)^{-1}[(I-\Psi(rR_1,\dots rR_n)^*\Psi(rR_1,\dots rR_n)](I-\Psi(rR_1,\dots rR_n))^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

for any $r\in[0,1)$. Consequently, we deduce that

$$\Psi(rR_1,\dots rR_n)^*\Psi(rR_1,\dots rR_n)+L(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)^*L(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)\leq I$$

for any $r\in[0,1)$. Due to relation (3.10), we have

$$\Psi(rR_1,\dots rR_n)^*\Psi(rR_1,\dots rR_n)=\sum_{i=1}^n r^2M_i(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)^*M_i(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)\quad \text{for}\ \ r\in[0,1).$$

Combining these relations, we deduce that

$$r^2\sum_{i=1}^n\|M_i(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)x\|^2+\|L(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)x\|^2\leq\|x\|^2 \tag{3.12}$$

for any $x\in\mathcal{E}\otimes F^2(H_n)$ and any $r\in[0,1)$. Remember that $H^\infty_{\text{ball}}(B(\mathcal{N},\mathcal{M}))$ can be identified to the operator space $B(\mathcal{N},\mathcal{M})\bar\otimes R_n^\infty$ for any Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{M}$. In particular, since L and M_i are bounded free holomorphic functions, according to [56], there exist $\widetilde{M_i}\in B(\mathcal{E})\bar\otimes\mathcal{R}_n^\infty$ and $\widetilde{L}\in B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{Y})\bar\otimes\mathcal{R}_n^\infty$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{M_i}&=\text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to1}M_i(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)\ \ \text{and}\\ \widetilde{L}&=\text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to1}L(rR_1,\dots,rR_n).\end{aligned}$$

Passing to the limit in (3.12), we obtain $\sum_{i=1}^n\|\widetilde{M_i}x\|^2+\|\widetilde{L}x\|^2\leq\|x\|^2$ for any $x\in\mathcal{E}\otimes F^2(H_n)$. Therefore, $\begin{bmatrix}\widetilde{L}\\ \widetilde{M_1}\\ \vdots\\ \widetilde{M_n}\end{bmatrix}$ is a contraction in $B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{Y}\oplus\mathcal{E}^{(n)})\bar\otimes\mathcal{R}_n^\infty$, which shows that $\begin{bmatrix}L\\ M_1\\ \vdots\\ M_n\end{bmatrix}$ is in the noncommutative Schur class $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{Y}\oplus\mathcal{E}^{(n)}))$. We remark that $\begin{bmatrix}\varphi_1\\ \vdots\\ \varphi_n\end{bmatrix}$ and F uniquely determine each other by Theorem 3.1. On the other hand, F and $\begin{bmatrix}M_1\\ \vdots\\ M_n\end{bmatrix}$ uniquely determine each other via the noncommutative Cayley transform. Therefore, J_θ is a one-to-one mapping. Now, let us prove the surjectivity of the correspondence J_θ. Let $\begin{bmatrix}L\\ M_1\\ \vdots\\ M_n\end{bmatrix}$ be in the Schur class $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{Y}\oplus\mathcal{E}^{(n)}))$ such that relation (3.5) holds. Therefore, we have

$$L(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)^*L(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)+\sum_{i=1}^nM_i(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)^*M_i(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)\leq I. \tag{3.13}$$

Hence $\begin{bmatrix}M_1\\ \vdots\\ M_n\end{bmatrix}$ is in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}^{(n)}))$, which implies that the free holomorphic function

$$\Psi(X):=(I_\mathcal{E}\otimes X_1)M_1(X)+\cdots+(I_\mathcal{E}\otimes X_n)M_n(X),\quad X:=(X_1,\dots,X_n)\in[B(\mathcal{H})]_1,$$

is in $\mathcal{S}^0_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E}))$. Let F be the inverse Cayley transform of Ψ. Then $\operatorname{Re} F \geq 0$, $F(0) = I$, and, due to relations (3.5) and (3.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)^*\Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)\\
&\qquad = (I - \Psi(rR_1, \ldots rR_n)^*)^{-1} L(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)^* L(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)(I - \Psi(rR_1, \ldots rR_n))^{-1}\\
&\qquad \leq (I - \Psi(rR_1, \ldots rR_n)^*)^{-1}[(I - \Psi(rR_1, \ldots rR_n)^*\Psi(rR_1, \ldots rR_n)](I - \Psi(rR_1, \ldots rR_n))^{-1}\\
&\qquad = \operatorname{Re} F(rR_1, \ldots rR_n)
\end{aligned}$$

for any $r \in [0, 1)$. The latter equality was proved before, using the fact that F is the inverse Cayley transform of Ψ. In particular, since $F(0) = I$, we can use the inequality above to deduce that

$$\|\Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)(h \otimes 1)\|^2 \leq \langle F(0)(h \otimes 1), h \otimes 1\rangle = \|h\|^2$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{E}$ and $r \in [0, 1)$. Hence $\|\Theta\|_2 \leq 1$. Moreover, since

$$\Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)^*\Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n) \leq \operatorname{Re} F(rR_1, \ldots rR_n), \qquad r \in [0, 1),$$

we can apply Theorem 3.1 to show that F has the form (3.7) for some $\begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_n \end{bmatrix}$ in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma_\theta}, \mathcal{D}^{(n)}_{\Gamma_\theta}))$. Now, since F is the inverse Cayley transform of Ψ we have $\Psi = (F - I)(F + I)^{-1}$. Notice also that due to relations (3.5) and (3.11), we deduce that

$$L(X) = 2\Theta(X)[F(X) + I]^{-1}, \qquad X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1.$$

Therefore, $J_\Theta \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L \\ M_1 \\ \vdots \\ M_n \end{bmatrix}$. Finally, the representation (3.5) is unique if and only if $D_{\Gamma_\theta} = 0$, i.e., Γ_θ is an isometry. The proof is complete. $\square$

A closer look at the proof of Theorem 3.3 reveals that we have also proved the following result.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\Theta : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \to B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y}) \otimes_{min} B(\mathcal{H})$ be a function. Then Θ is a free holomorphic function in $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y}))$ with $\|\Theta\|_2 \leq 1$ if and only if it has a representation*

$$\Theta(X) = L(X)\left[I_{\mathcal{E}\otimes\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i=1}^n (I_\mathcal{E} \otimes X_i)M_i(X)\right]^{-1} \qquad \text{for } X := (X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} L \\ M_1 \\ \vdots \\ M_n \end{bmatrix}$ is in the noncommutative Schur class $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{(n)}))$.

4. ALL SOLUTIONS OF THE GENERALIZED NONCOMMUTATIVE COMMUTANT LIFTING PROBLEM

We introduce a generalized noncommutative commutant lifting (**GNCL**) problem, which extends to a multivariable setting several lifting problems including the classical Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş commutant lifting and the extensions obtained by Treil-Volberg, Foiaş-Frazho-Kaashoek, and Biswas-Foiaş-Frazho, as well as their multivariable noncommutative versions.

We solve the **GNCL** problem and, using the results regarding sub-pluriharmonic functions and free pluriharmonic majorants on noncommutative balls, we provide a complete description of all solutions. In particular, we obtain a concrete Schur type description of all solutions in the noncommutative commutant lifting theorem.

An n-tuple $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ of bounded linear operators acting on a common Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is called contractive (or row contraction) if

$$T_1T_1^* + \cdots + T_nT_n^* \leq I_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

The defect operators associated with T are

$$\Delta_{T^*} := \left(I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i=1}^n T_iT_i^*\right)^{1/2} \in B(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_T := \left([\delta_{ij}I_{\mathcal{H}} - T_i^*T_j]_{n\times n}\right)^{1/2} \in B(\mathcal{H}^{(n)}),$$

while the defect spaces of T are $\mathcal{D}_* = \mathcal{D}_{T^*} := \overline{\Delta_{T^*}\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_T := \overline{\Delta_T\mathcal{H}^{(n)}}$, where $\mathcal{H}^{(n)} := \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}$ denotes the direct sum of n copies of $\mathcal{H}$. We say that an n-tuple $V := (V_1, \ldots, V_n)$ of isometries on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$ is a minimal isometric dilation of T if the following properties are satisfied:

(i) $V_1V_1^* + \cdots + V_nV_n^* \leq I_{\mathcal{K}}$;
(ii) $V_i^*|_{\mathcal{H}} = T_i^*,\ i = 1, \ldots, n$;
(iii) $\mathcal{K} = \bigvee_{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}_n^+} V_\alpha\mathcal{H}$.

The isometric dilation theorem for row contractions [37] asserts that every row contraction T has a minimal isometric dilation V, which is uniquely determined up to an isomorphism. Let $\Delta_i : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{D} \otimes F^2(H_n)$ be defined by

$$\Delta_i h := [\Delta_T(\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0,}_{i-1\ times}\ h, 0, \ldots, 0) \otimes 1] \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \cdots.$$

Consider the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{H} \oplus (\mathcal{D} \otimes F^2(H_n))$ and embed $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ in $\mathcal{K}$ in the natural way. For each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, define the operator $V_i : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{K}$ by

$$V_i(h \oplus (\xi \otimes d)) := T_ih \oplus [\Delta_ih + (I_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes S_i)(\xi \otimes d)] \tag{4.1}$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H},\ \ \xi \in F^2(H_n)\ ,\ d \in \mathcal{D}$, where $S_1, \ldots, S_n$ are the left creation operators on the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$. The n-tuple $V := (V_1, \ldots, V_n)$ is a realization of the minimal isometric dilation of T. Note that

$$V_i = \begin{bmatrix} T_i & 0 \\ \Delta_i & I_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes S_i \end{bmatrix} \tag{4.2}$$

with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} \oplus [\mathcal{D} \otimes F^2(H_n)]$.

Let us introduce our *generalized noncommutative commutant lifting* (**GNCL**) problem.

A lifting *data set* $\{A, T, V, C, Q\}$ for the **GNCL** problem is defined as follows. Let $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$, $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, be a row contraction and let $V := (V_1, \ldots, V_n)$, $V_i \in B(\mathcal{K})$, be the minimal isometric dilation of T on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$ given by (4.2). Let $Q := (Q_1, \ldots, Q_n)$, $Q_i \in B(\mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{X})$, and $C := (C_1, \ldots, C_n)$, $C_i \in B(\mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{X})$, be such that

$$[\delta_{ij}C_i^*C_j]_{n\times n} \leq [Q_i^*Q_j]_{n\times n}\,. \tag{4.3}$$

Let $A \in B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{H})$ be a contraction such that

$$T_iAC_i = AQ_i, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{4.4}$$

We say that B is a *contractive interpolant* for A with respect to $\{A, T, V, C, Q\}$ if $B \in B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K})$ is a contraction satisfying the conditions

$$P_{\mathcal{H}}B = A \quad \text{and} \quad V_iBC_i = BQ_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where $P_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the orthogonal projection from $\mathcal{K}$ onto $\mathcal{H}$.

The GNCL problem is to find contractive interpolats B of A with respect to the data set $\{A, T, V, C, Q\}$.

Note that B satisfies relation $P_{\mathcal{H}}B = A$ if and only if it has a matrix decomposition

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ \Gamma D_A \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{H} \oplus [\mathcal{D} \otimes F^2(H_n)], \tag{4.5}$$

where $\Gamma:\mathcal{D}_A\to\mathcal{D}\otimes F^2(H_n)$ is a contraction. Here $D_A:=(I_\mathcal{X}-A^*A)^{1/2}$ and $\mathcal{D}_A:=\overline{D_A\mathcal{X}}$. We mention that B and Γ determine each other uniquely. Note that B satisfies the equations $V_iBC_i=BQ_i$ for $i=1,\ldots,n$, if and only if

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_i & 0\\ \Delta_i & I_\mathcal{D}\otimes S_i\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} A\\ \Gamma D_A\end{bmatrix}C_i=\begin{bmatrix} A\\ \Gamma D_A\end{bmatrix}Q_i,\quad i=1,\ldots,n,$$

which, due to relation (4.4), is equivalent to

$$\Delta_iAC_i+(I_\mathcal{D}\otimes S_i)\Gamma D_AC_i=\Gamma D_AQ_i,\quad i=1,\ldots,n. \tag{4.6}$$

Therefore, the **GNCL** problem is equivalent to finding contractions $\Gamma:\mathcal{D}_A\to\mathcal{D}\otimes F^2(H_n)$ such that relation (4.6) holds. Using relations (4.3) and (4.4), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n D_AQ_iy_i\right\|^2&=\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n Q_iy_i\right\|^2-\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n AQ_iy_i\right\|^2\\ &\geq\sum_{i=1}^n\|C_iy_i\|^2-\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n T_iAQ_iy_i\right\|^2\\ &=\sum_{i=1}^n\|AC_iy_i\|^2-\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n T_iAQ_iy_i\right\|^2+\sum_{i=1}^n\|C_iy_i\|^2-\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n AC_iy_i\right\|^2\\ &=\left\|\Delta_T\left(\oplus_{i=1}^nAC_iy_i\right)\right\|^2+\sum_{i=1}^n\|D_AC_iy_i\|^2\end{aligned}$$

for any $y_i\in\mathcal{G}_i$, $i=1,\ldots,n$. Consider the subspace $\mathcal{F}\subset\mathcal{D}_A$ given by

$$\mathcal{F}:=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n D_AQ_iy_i:\ y_i\in\mathcal{G}_i,\ i=1,\ldots,n\right\}^-. \tag{4.7}$$

Due to the estimations above, we can introduce the operator $\Omega:\mathcal{F}\to\mathcal{D}\oplus\mathcal{D}_A^{(n)}$ by $\Omega:=\begin{bmatrix}\Omega_1\\ \Omega_2\end{bmatrix}$, where Ω_1 and Ω_2 are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&\Omega_1:\mathcal{F}\to\mathcal{D}, && \Omega_1\left(\sum_{i=1}^n D_AQ_iy_i\right):=\Delta_T\left(\oplus_{i=1}^nAC_iy_i\right)\ \text{and}\\ &\Omega_2:\mathcal{F}\to\oplus_{i=1}^n\mathcal{D}_A, && \Omega_2\left(\sum_{i=1}^n D_AQ_iy_i\right):=\oplus_{i=1}^nD_AC_iy_i.\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Consequently, Ω is a contraction. We remark that Ω is an isometry if and only if we have equality in (4.3). Now, notice that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n\Delta_i(AC_iy_i)=\Delta_T(\oplus_{i=1}^nAC_iy_i)\otimes 1,\qquad y_i\in\mathcal{G}_i.$$

It is clear that relation (4.6) is equivalent to

$$\Delta_T(\oplus_{i=1}^nAC_iy_i)\otimes 1+\sum_{i=1}^n(I_\mathcal{D}\otimes S_i)\Gamma D_AC_iy_i=\sum_{i=1}^n\Gamma D_AQ_iy_i,\qquad y_i\in\mathcal{G}_i, \tag{4.9}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\Omega_1\left(\sum_{i=1}^n D_AQ_iy_i\right)\otimes 1+[I_\mathcal{D}\otimes S_1,\ldots,I_\mathcal{D}\otimes S_n]\,(\oplus_{i=1}^n\Gamma)\Omega_2\left(\sum_{i=1}^n D_AQ_iy_i\right)=\Gamma\left(\sum_{i=1}^n D_AQ_iy_i\right).$$

Therefore, we have

$$E_{\mathcal{D}}\Omega_1 + [I_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes S_1, \ldots, I_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes S_n] \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma P_1 \\ \vdots \\ \Gamma P_n \end{bmatrix} \Omega_2 = \Gamma|_{\mathcal{F}}, \tag{4.10}$$

where $E_{\mathcal{D}} : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D} \otimes F^2(H_n)$ is defined by $E_{\mathcal{D}} y = y \otimes 1$ and $P_j : \mathcal{D}_A^{(n)} \to \mathcal{D}_A$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{D}_A^{(n)}$ onto its j-th coordinate.

Let B be a fixed solution of the **GNCL** problem and let $\Gamma : \mathcal{D}_A \to \mathcal{D} \otimes F^2(H_n)$ be the unique contraction determined by B (see (4.5)). Since relation (4.6) holds and $S_1, \ldots, S_n$ are isometries with orthogonal ranges, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n D_\Gamma D_A Q_i y_i \right\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n D_A Q_i y_i \right\|^2 - \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma D_A Q_i y_i \right\|^2 \\
&= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n D_A Q_i y_i \right\|^2 - \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i A C_i y_i \right\|^2 - \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (I_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes S_i) \Gamma D_A C_i y_i \right\|^2 \\
&= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n D_A Q_i y_i \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_T \left(\oplus_{i=1}^n A C_i y_i \right) \right\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \| \Gamma D_A C_i y_i \|^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \| D_\Gamma D_A C_i y_i \|^2 + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n D_A Q_i y_i \right\|^2 - \left\| \Delta_T \left(\oplus_{i=1}^n A C_i y_i \right) \right\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \| D_A C_i y_i \|^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \| D_\Gamma D_A C_i y_i \|^2 + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n D_A Q_i y_i \right\|^2 - \left\| \Omega \left(\sum_{i=1}^n D_A Q_i y_i \right) \right\|^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \| D_\Gamma D_A C_i y_i \|^2 + \left\| D_\Omega D_A \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Q_i y_i \right) \right\|^2 \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^n \| D_\Gamma D_A C_i y_i \|^2
\end{aligned}$$

for any $y_i \in \mathcal{G}_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Therefore,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n D_\Gamma D_A Q_i y_i \right\| \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \| D_\Gamma D_A C_i y_i \|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad y_i \in \mathcal{G}_i,$$

where the equality holds if and only if Ω is an isometry. Consequently, we can define a contraction $\Lambda : \mathcal{F}_\Gamma := \overline{D_\Gamma \mathcal{F}} \to \mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}$ by setting

$$\Lambda \left(\sum_{i=1}^n D_\Gamma D_A Q_i y_i \right) := \oplus_{i=1}^n (D_\Gamma D_A C_i y_i), \qquad y_i \in \mathcal{G}_i. \tag{4.11}$$

Using the definition of Ω_2, we deduce that

$$\Lambda D_\Gamma x = \left(\oplus_{i=1}^n D_\Gamma \right) \Omega_2 x, \qquad x \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{4.12}$$

We remark that Λ is an isometry if and only if Ω is an isometry.

We introduce the noncommutative Schur class $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}^\Lambda(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma, \mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}))$ of all bounded free holomorphic functions $\Phi \in H_{\mathbf{ball}}^\infty(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma, \mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}))$ with $\|\Phi\|_\infty \leq 1$ such that $C|_{\mathcal{F}_\Gamma} = \Lambda$. More precisely, if C has the representation $\Phi(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} C_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha$ for some coefficients $C_{(\alpha)} \in B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma, \mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)})$, the latter condition means $C_{(0)}|_{\mathcal{F}_\Gamma} = \Lambda$ and $C_{\alpha)}|_{\mathcal{F}_\Gamma} = 0$ if $|\alpha| \geq 1$. Equivalently, $\Phi(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)|_{\mathcal{F}_\Gamma \otimes 1} = (\Lambda \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})|_{\mathcal{F}_\Gamma \otimes 1}$, i.e.,

$$\Phi(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)(x \otimes 1) = \Lambda x \otimes 1 \tag{4.13}$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{F}_\Gamma$ and $r \in [0,1)$. Moreover, notice also that the latter condition is equivalent to $\widetilde{\Phi}|_{\mathcal{F}_\Gamma \otimes F^2(H_n)} = \Lambda \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}$, where $\widetilde{\Phi}$ is the boundary function of Φ.

We remark that the set $\mathcal{S}^\Lambda_{\mathbf{ball}}(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma, \mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)})$ is nonempty. Indeed, we can take $C = \Lambda P_{\mathcal{F}_\Gamma} \otimes I$, where $P_{\mathcal{F}_\Gamma}$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma$ onto $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma$.

We say that a bounded free holomorphic function $\Psi \in H^\infty_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}_A^{(n)}))$ is a *Schur function associated with the data set* $\{A, T, V, C, Q\}$ if $\|\Psi\|_\infty \leq 1$ such that $\Psi|_{\mathcal{F}} = \Omega$. Equivalently,

$$\|\Psi(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)\| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)(y \otimes 1) = \Omega y \otimes 1 \tag{4.14}$$

for any $r \in [0,1)$ and $y \in \mathcal{F}$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}^\Omega_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}_A^{(n)}))$ the set of all Schur functions associated with the data set $\{A, T, V, C, Q\}$.

Let B be a solution of the **GNCL** problem with the data set $\{A, T, V, C, Q\}$ and consider the contraction $\Gamma : \mathcal{D}_A \to \mathcal{D} \otimes F^2(H_n)$ uniquely determined by B (see (4.5)). Let $\Theta \in H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}))$ be the free holomorphic function with symbol Γ. Define the map

$$J_\Gamma : \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma, \mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)})) \to \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}_A^{(n)}))$$

by setting

$$J_\Gamma \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_n \end{bmatrix} := \begin{bmatrix} L \\ M_1 \\ \vdots \\ M_n \end{bmatrix}, \tag{4.15}$$

where $L \in H_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}))$ is given by

$$L(Z) := 2\Theta(Z)[F(Z) + I]^{-1}, \quad Z \in [B(\mathcal{Z})^n]_1, \tag{4.16}$$

the free holomorphic function $F \in H_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A))$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F(Z) &:= (\Gamma^* \otimes I_\mathcal{Z}) \left(I_{\mathcal{D} \otimes F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{Z}} + \sum_{i=1}^n I_\mathcal{D} \otimes S_i^* \otimes Z_i \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(I_{\mathcal{D} \otimes F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{Z}} - \sum_{i=1}^n I_\mathcal{D} \otimes S_i^* \otimes Z_i \right)^{-1} (\Gamma \otimes I_\mathcal{Z}) \\ &\quad + (D_\Gamma \otimes I_\mathcal{Z}) \left[I + \sum_{i=1}^n (I_{\mathcal{D}_A} \otimes Z_i)\varphi_i(Z) \right] \left[I - \sum_{i=1}^n (I_{\mathcal{D}_A} \otimes Z_i)\varphi_i(Z) \right]^{-1} (D_\Gamma \otimes I_\mathcal{Z}), \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

and $M_1, \ldots, M_n \in H_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A))$ are uniquely determined by the equation

$$(I_{\mathcal{D}_A} \otimes Z_1)M_1(Z) + \cdots + (I_{\mathcal{D}_A} \otimes Z_n)M_n(Z) = [F(Z) - I][F(Z) + I]^{-1} \tag{4.18}$$

for any $Z := (Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) \in [B(\mathcal{Z})^n]_1$. Here $\mathcal{Z}$ is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space.

In what follows we need the following lemma, which is the core of the main result of this section.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $\{A, T, V, C, Q\}$ *be a data set for the* **GNCL** *problem. Let* $\Gamma : \mathcal{D}_A \to \mathcal{D} \otimes F^2(H_n)$ *be a contraction and let* $\Phi := \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_n \end{bmatrix}$ *be in* $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma, \mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}))$. *Define* $\Psi := \begin{bmatrix} L \\ M_1 \\ \vdots \\ M_n \end{bmatrix}$ *by relations* (4.16), (4.17), *and* (4.18). *Then the following statements hold:*

(i) *If* Γ *satisfies relation* (4.6), *then the free holomorphic function* $M := \begin{bmatrix} M_1 \\ \vdots \\ M_n \end{bmatrix}$ *has the property that* $M|_\mathcal{F} = \Omega_2$ *if and only if* $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}^\Lambda_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma, \mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}))$;

(ii) Ψ *is in* $\mathcal{S}^\Omega_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}_A^{(n)}))$ *if and only if* Γ *satisfies* (4.6) *and* Φ *is in* $\mathcal{S}^\Lambda_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma, \mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}))$.

Proof. Let $\Theta \in H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}))$ be the free holomorphic function with symbol Γ. Due to relation (4.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(Z) &= \Gamma^*\Gamma \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}} + 2(\Gamma^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}}) \left(I_{\mathcal{D}\otimes F^2(H_n)\otimes \mathcal{Z}} - \sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes S_i^* \otimes Z_i \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes S_i^* \otimes Z_i \right) (\Gamma \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}}) \\ &\quad + D_\Gamma^2 \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}} + 2(D_\Gamma \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}}) \left[I - \sum_{i=1}^n (I_{\mathcal{D}_A} \otimes Z_i)\varphi_i(Z) \right]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (I_{\mathcal{D}_A} \otimes Z_i)\varphi_i(Z) \right] (D_\Gamma \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}}) \end{aligned}$$

for any $Z := (Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) \in [B(\mathcal{Z})^n]_1$. Since $\Gamma^*\Gamma + D_\Gamma^2 = I$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} F(Z) - I &= 2(\Gamma^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}}) \left(I_{\mathcal{D}\otimes F^2(H_n)\otimes \mathcal{Z}} - \sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes S_i^* \otimes Z_i \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes S_i^* \otimes Z_i \right) (\Gamma \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) \\ &\quad + 2(D_\Gamma \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) \left[I - \sum_{i=1}^n (I_{\mathcal{D}_A} \otimes Z_i)\varphi_i(Z) \right]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (I_{\mathcal{D}_A} \otimes Z_i)\varphi_i(Z) \right] (D_\Gamma \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

for any $Z := (Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) \in [B(\mathcal{Z})^n]_1$. Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(Z) + I &= 2(\Gamma^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}}) \left(I_{\mathcal{D}\otimes F^2(H_n)\otimes \mathcal{Z}} - \sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes S_i^* \otimes Z_i \right)^{-1} (\Gamma \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}}) \\ &\quad + 2(D_\Gamma \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}}) \left[I - \sum_{i=1}^n (I_{\mathcal{D}_A} \otimes Z_i)\varphi_i(Z) \right]^{-1} (D_\Gamma \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

for any $Z := (Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) \in [B(\mathcal{Z})^n]_1$.

Now, assume that Γ satisfies relation (4.10) (which is equivalent to (4.6)). Let us show that $M := \begin{bmatrix} M_1 \\ \vdots \\ M_n \end{bmatrix}$ has the property that $M|_{\mathcal{F}} = \Omega_2$ if and only if $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}^\Lambda_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma, \mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}))$. Let $x \in \mathcal{F}$ and $r \in [0,1)$. Since $S_1, \ldots, S_n$ are isometries with orthogonal ranges, relation (4.10) implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes S_i^* \otimes rR_i \right) (\Gamma \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})(x \otimes 1) \\ &\quad = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[(I_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes S_i^*) \left(E_{\mathcal{D}}\Omega_1 x + [I_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes S_1, \ldots, I_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes S_n] \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma P_1 \\ \vdots \\ \Gamma P_n \end{bmatrix} \Omega_2 x \right) \otimes re_i \right] \\ &\quad = \sum_{i=1}^n (\Gamma P_i \Omega_2 x \otimes re_i) = (\Gamma \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}) \sum_{i=1}^n (P_i \Omega_2 x \otimes re_i). \end{aligned}$$

Using relation (4.19), we have $F(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n) - I = A_r + B_r$, $r \in [0,1)$, where $rR := (rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)$,

$$A_r := 2(\Gamma^* \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}) \left(I_{\mathcal{D}\otimes F^2(H_n)\otimes \mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes S_i^* \otimes rR_i \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes S_i^* \otimes rR_i \right) (\Gamma \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}), \text{ and}$$

$$B_r := 2(D_\Gamma \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}) \left[I - \sum_{i=1}^n (I_{\mathcal{D}_A} \otimes rR_i)\varphi_i(rR) \right]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (I_{\mathcal{D}_A} \otimes rR_i)\varphi_i(rR) \right] (D_\Gamma \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}).$$

Taking into account the above calculations, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}&[F(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)-I](x\otimes 1)\\&=2(\Gamma^*\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\left(I_{\mathcal{D}\otimes F^2(H_n)\otimes\mathcal{H}}-\sum_{i=1}^n I_{\mathcal{D}}\otimes S_i^*\otimes rR_i\right)^{-1}(\Gamma\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\sum_{i=1}^n(P_i\Omega_2x\otimes re_i)\\&\quad+B_r(x\otimes 1)\end{aligned}$$

for $x\in\mathcal{F}$. Hence and due to (4.20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&[F(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)-I](x\otimes 1)\\&=[F(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)+I]\sum_{i=1}^n(P_i\Omega_2x\otimes re_i)\\&\quad-2(D_\Gamma\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\left[I-\sum_{i=1}^n(I_{\mathcal{D}_A}\otimes rR_i)\varphi_i(rR)\right]^{-1}(D_\Gamma\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\sum_{i=1}^n(P_i\Omega_2x\otimes re_i)+B_r(x\otimes 1)\\&=[F(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)+I]\sum_{i=1}^n(P_i\Omega_2x\otimes re_i)+2(D_\Gamma\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\left[I-\sum_{i=1}^n(I_{\mathcal{D}_A}\otimes rR_i)\varphi_i(rR)\right]^{-1}\chi_r,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\chi_r:=\sum_{i=1}^n(I_{\mathcal{D}_A}\otimes rR_i)\varphi_i(rR)(D_\Gamma\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})(x\otimes 1)-(D_\Gamma\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\sum_{i=1}^n(P_i\Omega_2x\otimes re_i).$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&[F(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)+I]^{-1}[F(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)-I](x\otimes 1)\\&=\sum_{i=1}^n(P_i\Omega_2x\otimes re_i)+2[F(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)+I]^{-1}(D_\Gamma\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\\&\qquad\times\left[I-\sum_{i=1}^n(I_{\mathcal{D}_A}\otimes rR_i)\varphi_i(rR)\right]^{-1}\chi_r.\end{aligned}\tag{4.21}$$

If $\Phi\in\mathcal{S}^\Lambda_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma,\mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}))$, then due to relation (4.13), we have

$$\Phi(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)(y\otimes 1)=\Lambda y\otimes 1$$

for any $y\in\mathcal{F}_\Gamma$ and $r\in[0,1)$. Using the definition of $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma$ and relations (4.11), (4.12), we deduce that, for any $x\in\mathcal{F}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_j(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)(D_\Gamma x\otimes 1)&=(P_j\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\Phi(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)(D_\Gamma x\otimes 1)\\&=(P_j\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})(\Lambda D_\Gamma x\otimes 1)\\&=P_j\left(\oplus_{i=1}^n D_\Gamma\right)\Omega_2x\otimes 1\\&=D_\Gamma P_j\Omega_2x\otimes 1\end{aligned}$$

for any $x\in\mathcal{F}$. Now, it is clear that $\chi_r=0$. Due to relation (4.21), we have

$$[F(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)+I]^{-1}[F(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)-I](x\otimes 1)=\sum_{i=1}^n(P_i\Omega_2x\otimes re_i).$$

Hence and using (4.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}M_j(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)(x\otimes 1)&=\frac{1}{r}(I_{\mathcal{D}_A}\otimes R_j^*)[F(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)+I]^{-1}[F(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)-I](x\otimes 1)\\&=P_j\Omega_2x\otimes 1\end{aligned}$$

for any $x\in\mathcal{F}$ and $j=1,\dots,n$. Consequently, $M(rR_1,\dots,rR_n)(x\otimes 1)=\Omega_2x\otimes 1$ for any $x\in\mathcal{F}$, i.e., $M|_{\mathcal{F}}=\Omega_2$.

Conversely, if $M|_{\mathcal{F}} = \Omega_2$, then, due to relation (4.18), we have

$$[F(rR_1, \dots, rR_n) + I]^{-1}[F(rR_1, \dots, rR_n) - I](x \otimes 1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_j \Omega_2 x \otimes re_i.$$

Using relation (4.21), we obtain

$$2[F(rR_1, \dots, rR_n) + I]^{-1}(D_\Gamma \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}) \left[I - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (I_{\mathcal{D}_A} \otimes rR_i)\varphi_i(rR) \right]^{-1} \chi_r = 0.$$

Since χ_r has the range in $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma \otimes F^2(H_n)$, the operator $I - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (I_{\mathcal{D}_A} \otimes rR_i)\varphi_i(rR)$ in invertible on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma \otimes F^2(H_n)$, and $D_\Gamma \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}$ is one-to-one on $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma \otimes F^2(H_n)$, we deduce that $\chi_r = 0$. Consequently, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (I_{\mathcal{D}_\Gamma} \otimes rR_i) \left[\varphi_i(rR_1, \dots, rR_n)(D_\Gamma x \otimes 1) - D_\Gamma P_i \Omega_2 x \otimes 1 \right] = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Since $R_1, \dots, R_n$ are isometries with orthogonal ranges, we deduce that

$$\varphi_i(rR_1, \dots, rR_n)(D_\Gamma x \otimes 1) = D_\Gamma P_i \Omega_2 x \otimes 1, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{F}$. On the other hand, due to (4.11) and (4.12), we have

$$D_\Gamma P_j \Omega_2 x \otimes 1 = (P_j \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})(\Lambda D_\Gamma x \otimes 1), \qquad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Combining these relations, we deduce that $\Phi(rR_1, \dots, rR_n)(y \otimes 1) = \Lambda y \otimes 1$ for any $y \in \mathcal{F}_\Gamma$ and $r \in [0, 1)$. Therefore, $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}^{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma, \mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}))$, which proves part (i).

Assume now that Γ satisfies (4.6) and $\Phi := \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_n \end{bmatrix}$ is in $\mathcal{S}^{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma, \mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}))$. The result of part (i) shows that $M := \begin{bmatrix} M_1 \\ \vdots \\ M_n \end{bmatrix}$ has the property that $M|_{\mathcal{F}} = \Omega_2$. In what follows we will use the fact that $J_\Gamma \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L \\ M_1 \\ \vdots \\ M_n \end{bmatrix}$ and that relations (4.16), (4.17), and (4.18) hold. First, notice that (4.18) implies

$$\begin{aligned} I - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (I_{\mathcal{D}_A} \otimes rR_i) M_i(rR_1, \dots, rR_n) &= I - [F(rR_1, \dots, rR_n) - I][F(rR_1, \dots, rR_n) + I]^{-1} \\ &= 2[F(rR_1, \dots, rR_n) + I]^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for any $r \in [0, 1)$. Hence and using relation (4.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} L(rR_1, \dots, rR_n) &= 2\Theta(rR_1, \dots, rR_n)[F(rR_1, \dots, rR_n) + I]^{-1} \\ &= \Theta(rR_1, \dots, rR_n) \left[I - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (I_{\mathcal{D}_A} \otimes rR_i) M_i(rR_1, \dots, rR_n) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

Therefore, since $M|_{\mathcal{F}} = \Omega_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &L(rR_1, \dots, rR_n)(x \otimes 1) \\ &= \Theta(rR_1, \dots, rR_n)(x \otimes 1) - \Theta(rR_1, \dots, rR_n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} (I_{\mathcal{D}_A} \otimes rR_i) M_i(rR_1, \dots, rR_n)(x \otimes 1) \\ &= \Theta(rR_1, \dots, rR_n)(x \otimes 1) - \Theta(rR_1, \dots, rR_n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} (P_i \Omega_2 x \otimes re_i) \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{F}$. Since L is a bounded free holomorphic function, $\tilde{L} := \text{SOT-}\lim_{r\to 1} L(rR)$ exists and it is in the operator space $B(\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D})\bar{\otimes} R_n^\infty$. Taking $r \to 1$ in the relation above and using (4.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)(x \otimes 1) &= \Gamma x - \lim_{r\to 1} \Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n) \sum_{i=1}^n (P_i \Omega_2 x \otimes re_i) \\ &= \Omega_1 x \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n (I_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes S_i)\Gamma P_i \Omega_2 x - \lim_{r\to 1} \Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n) \sum_{i=1}^n (P_i \Omega_2 x \otimes re_i). \end{aligned}$$

Now, assume that Θ has the representation $\Theta(Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes Z_\alpha$ on $[B(\mathcal{Z})^n]_1$, with $\sum_{\alpha \in F_n^+} A_{(\alpha)}^* A_{(\alpha)} \leq I$. Then $\Gamma y = \sum_{\alpha\in F_n^+} A_{(\alpha)} y \otimes e_{\tilde{\alpha}}$, $y \in \mathcal{D}_A$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (I_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes S_i)\Gamma P_i \Omega_2 x = \sum_{\alpha \in F_n^+} A_{(\alpha)} P_i \Omega_2 x \otimes e_{g_i \tilde{\alpha}}, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (P_i \Omega_2 x \otimes re_i) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} A_{(\alpha)} P_i \Omega_2 x \otimes r^{|\alpha|+1} e_{g_i \tilde{\alpha}}.$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{r\to 1} \Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (P_i \Omega_2 x \otimes re_i) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n (I_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes S_i)\Gamma P_i \Omega_2 x. \tag{4.23}$$

Hence, $\tilde{L}(x \otimes 1) = \Omega_1 x \otimes 1$ for $x \in \mathcal{F}$, which implies $L|_{\mathcal{F}} = \Omega_1$.

Conversely, assume that $\Psi := \begin{bmatrix} L \\ M_1 \\ \vdots \\ M_n \end{bmatrix}$ is in $S^\Omega_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}_A^{(n)}))$ and let $M := \begin{bmatrix} M_1 \\ \vdots \\ M_n \end{bmatrix}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)(y \otimes 1) &= \Omega_1 y \otimes 1, \ y \in \mathcal{F}, \quad \text{and} \\ M(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)(y \otimes 1) &= \Omega_2 y \otimes 1, \ y \in \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to relation (4.22), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 y \otimes 1 &= L(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)(y \otimes 1) \\ &= \Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)(y \otimes 1) - \Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n) \sum_{i=1}^n (I_{\mathcal{D}_A} \otimes rR_i) M_i(rR_1, \ldots rR_n)(y \otimes 1) \\ &= \Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n)(y \otimes 1) - \Theta(rR_1, \ldots, rR_n) \sum_{i=1}^n (I_{\mathcal{D}_A} \otimes rR_i)(P_i \Omega_2 y \otimes 1) \end{aligned}$$

for any $y \in \mathcal{F}$. As before (see (4.23)), taking $r \to 1$, we get

$$\Omega_1 y \otimes 1 = \Gamma y - \sum_{i=1}^n (I_{\mathcal{D}_A} \otimes rR_i)(P_i \Omega_2 y \otimes 1), y \in \mathcal{F},$$

which shows that Γ satisfies relation (4.10). Hence, and using part (i), we deduce that $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}^\Lambda_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma, \mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}))$. The proof is complete.

$\square$

Now we can prove the following generalized noncommutative commutant lifting theorem, which is the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\{A, T, V, C, Q\}$ be a data set. Then any solution of the* **GNCL** *problem is given by*

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ \Gamma D_A \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{H} \oplus [\mathcal{D} \otimes F^2(H_n)], \tag{4.24}$$

where $\Gamma : \mathcal{D}_A \to \mathcal{D} \otimes F^2(H_n)$ is the symbol of a free holomorphic function $\Theta \in H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}))$ given by

$$\Theta(Z) = L(X) \left[I_{\mathcal{D}_A \otimes \mathcal{Z}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (I_{\mathcal{D}_A} \otimes Z_i) M_i(Z) \right]^{-1} \quad \text{for } Z := (Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) \in [B(\mathcal{Z})^n]_1, \tag{4.25}$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} L \\ M_1 \\ \vdots \\ M_n \end{bmatrix}$ is an arbitrary element in the noncommutative Schur class $\mathcal{S}^{\Omega}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}_A^{(n)}))$.

Proof. Assume that $\Psi := \begin{bmatrix} L \\ M_1 \\ \vdots \\ M_n \end{bmatrix}$ is an arbitrary element in $\mathcal{S}^{\Omega}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}_A^{(n)}))$ and let Θ be given by (4.25). According to Corollary 3.4, Θ is a free holomorphic function in $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}))$ and $\|\Theta\|_2 \leq 1$. Using Theorem 3.3, we deduce that $\Psi = J_\Theta \Phi$ for a unique Φ in $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma, \mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}))$. Now, since $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}^{\Omega}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}_A^{(n)}))$, we can use Lemma 4.1 to deduce that Γ satisfies relation (4.6). Therefore, B is a solution of the **GNCL** problem.

Conversely, assume that B is a solution of the **GNCL** problem. Then B has a representation (4.24), where $\Gamma : \mathcal{D}_A \to \mathcal{D} \otimes F^2(H_n)$ is a contraction satisfying (4.6). We recall that $\mathcal{S}^{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma, \mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}))$ is nonempty. Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}^{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma, \mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}))$ and set $\Psi := \begin{bmatrix} L \\ M_1 \\ \vdots \\ M_n \end{bmatrix} := J_\Gamma \Phi$ (see (4.15)). Applying again Lemma 4.1, we deduce that Ψ is in $\mathcal{S}^{\Omega}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}_A^{(n)}))$. Now, using Theorem 3.3, we deduce that Γ is the symbol of a free holomorphic function $\Theta \in H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}))$ satisfying (4.25). This completes the proof. □

To obtain a refinement of Theorem 4.2, we need the following result.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L}$ be Hilbert spaces and Φ be a bounded free holomorphic function with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L})$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a subspace of $\mathcal{M}$ and $A \in B(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{L})$. Then $\|\Phi\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\Phi|_{\mathcal{N}} = A$ if and only if*

$$\Phi = (AP_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes I) + (D_{A^*} \otimes I)\Psi(P_{\mathcal{N}^\perp} \otimes I) \tag{4.26}$$

for some $\Psi \in H^\infty_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{N}^\perp, \mathcal{D}_{A^*}))$ with $\|\Psi\|_\infty \leq 1$, where $\mathcal{N}^\perp := \mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$. Moreover, Φ and Ψ in (4.26) determine each other uniquely.

Proof. Let $\widetilde{\Phi} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} C_{(\alpha)} \otimes R_\alpha$ be the Fourier representation of Φ. The condition $\Phi|_{\mathcal{N}} = A$ is equivalent to $C_{(0)}|_{\mathcal{N}} = A$ and $C_{(\alpha)}|_{\mathcal{N}} = 0$ for $|\alpha| \geq 1$. The latter condition is also equivalent to $\widetilde{\Phi}|_{\mathcal{N} \otimes F^2(H_n)} = A \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}$. With respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{M} \otimes F^2(H_n) = [\mathcal{N} \otimes F^2(H_n)] \oplus [\mathcal{N}^\perp \otimes F^2(H_n)]$, the operator $\widetilde{\Phi} : \mathcal{M} \otimes F^2(H_n) \to \mathcal{L} \otimes F^2(H_n)$ has the matrix representation $\widetilde{\Phi} = [\widetilde{\Phi}|_{\mathcal{N} \otimes F^2(H_n)} \ \widetilde{\Phi}|_{\mathcal{N}^\perp \otimes F^2(H_n)}]$. Taking into account the structure of row contractions (see [24]), $[A \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)} \ \widetilde{\Phi}|_{\mathcal{N}^\perp \otimes F^2(H_n)}]$ is a contraction if and only if

$$\widetilde{\Phi}|_{\mathcal{N}^\perp \otimes F^2(H_n)} = (D_{A^*} \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})\widetilde{\Psi} \tag{4.27}$$

for a unique contraction $\widetilde{\Psi} : \mathcal{N}^\perp \otimes F^2(H_n) \to \mathcal{D}_{A^*} \otimes F^2(H_n)$. Moreover, $\widetilde{\Psi}$ is unique. Since $\widetilde{\Phi}$ is a multi-analytic operator, i.e., $\widetilde{\Phi}(I_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes S_i) = (I_{\mathcal{L}} \otimes S_i)\widetilde{\Phi}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, so is its restriction $\widetilde{\Phi}|_{\mathcal{N}^\perp \otimes F^2(H_n)}$, i.e.,

$$\widetilde{\Phi}|_{\mathcal{N}^\perp\otimes F^2(H_n)}(I_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}\otimes S_i)=(I_{\mathcal{L}}\otimes S_i)\widetilde{\Phi}|_{\mathcal{N}^\perp\otimes F^2(H_n)},\qquad i=1,\dots,n,$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$(D_{A^*}\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})[\widetilde{\Psi}(I_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}\otimes S_i)-(I_{\mathcal{D}_{A^*}}\otimes S_i)\widetilde{\Psi}]=0,\qquad i=1,\dots,n.$$

Since $D_{A^*}\otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}$ is one-to-one on $\mathcal{D}_{A^*}\otimes F^2(H_n)$, we obtain $\widetilde{\Psi}(I_{\mathcal{N}^\perp}\otimes S_i)=(I_{\mathcal{D}_{A^*}}\otimes S_i)\widetilde{\Psi}$, $i=1,\dots,n$, which proves that $\widetilde{\Psi}$ is a multi-analytic operator. According to [53] (see also [56]), $\widetilde{\Psi}$ is the boundary function of a unique bounded free holomorphic function $\Psi\in\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{N}^\perp,\mathcal{D}_{A^*}))$. The proof is complete. □

Using Lemma 4.3, we obtain the following refinement of Theorem 4.2.

Remark 4.4. *In Theorem 4.2, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the noncommutative Schur class* $\mathcal{S}^{\Omega}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A,\mathcal{D}\oplus\mathcal{D}_A^{(n)}))$ *and the Schur class* $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{D}_{\Omega^*}))$*, given by the formula*

$$\Psi=(\Omega P_{\mathcal{F}}\otimes I)+(D_{\Omega^*}\otimes I)\Psi_1(P_{\mathcal{G}}\otimes I),$$

where Ω *is defined by* (4.8), $\mathcal{G}:=\mathcal{D}_A\ominus\mathcal{F}$, *and* $\Psi_1\in\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{D}_{\Omega^*}))$. *Consequently, Theorem 4.2 can be restated and the Schur class* $\mathcal{S}^{\Omega}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A,\mathcal{D}\oplus\mathcal{D}_A^{(n)}))$ *can be replaced by* $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{D}_{\Omega^*}))$.

The following result is an addition to Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 4.5. *Let* B *be a solution of the* **GNCL** *problem with the data set* $\{A,T,V,C,Q\}$*, let* Γ *be the contraction determined by* B*, and* $\Theta\in H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A,\mathcal{D}))$ *be the free holomorphic function with symbol* Γ*. Then the restriction of the map* J_Γ *(defined by* (4.15)*) to* $\mathcal{S}^{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma,\mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}))$ *is a one-to-one function onto the set of all functions* $\begin{bmatrix}L\\M_1\\\vdots\\M_n\end{bmatrix}$ *in the Schur class* $\mathcal{S}^{\Omega}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A,\mathcal{D}\oplus\mathcal{D}_A^{(n)}))$ *and satisfying the equation*

$$\Theta(Z)=L(Z)\left[I_{\mathcal{D}_A\otimes\mathcal{Z}}-\sum_{i=1}^n(I_{\mathcal{D}_A}\otimes Z_i)M_i(Z)\right]^{-1}\qquad \textit{for}\ \ Z:=(Z_1,\dots,Z_n)\in[B(\mathcal{Z})^n]_1.$$

Proof. Since B be a solution of the **GNCL** problem, Γ satisfies relation (4.6). Then $\Gamma=\Gamma_\theta$, where Θ is given as above and $\Psi:=\begin{bmatrix}L\\M_1\\\vdots\\M_n\end{bmatrix}$ is in the noncommutative Schur class $\mathcal{S}^{\Omega}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A,\mathcal{D}\oplus\mathcal{D}_A^{(n)}))$. Due to Theorem 3.3, there exists a unique $\Phi\in\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma,\mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}))$ such that $J_\Gamma\Phi=\Psi$. By Lemma 4.1, we deduce that $\Phi\in\mathcal{S}^{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma,\mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}))$ and the restriction of J_Θ to $\mathcal{S}^{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma,\mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}))$ is a one-to-one function onto the Schur class $\mathcal{S}^{\Omega}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A,\mathcal{D}\oplus\mathcal{D}_A^{(n)}))$. The proof is complete. □

Using Lemma 4.3, we obtain the following refinement of Theorem 4.5.

Remark 4.6. *In Theorem 4.5, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the noncommutative Schur class* $\mathcal{S}^{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma,\mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}))$ *and the Schur class* $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{G}_\Gamma,\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda^*}))$*, given by the formula*

$$\Phi=(\Lambda P_{\mathcal{F}_\Gamma}\otimes I)+(D_{\Gamma^*}\otimes I)\Phi_1(P_{\mathcal{G}_\Gamma}\otimes I),$$

where Λ *is defined by* (4.11), $\mathcal{G}_\Lambda:=\mathcal{D}_\Gamma\ominus\mathcal{F}_\Gamma$, *and* $\Phi_1\in\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{G}_\Gamma,\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda^*}))$. *Consequently, Theorem 4.5 can be restated and the Schur class* $\mathcal{S}^{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma,\mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}))$ *can be replaced by* $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{G}_\Gamma,\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda^*}))$.

Now, we consider a few remarkable particular cases.

Corollary 4.7. *Let* $\{A,T,V,C,Q\}$ *be a data set. In the particular case when* $\mathcal{G}_i=\mathcal{X}$ *and* $C_i=I_{\mathcal{X}}$ *for* $i=1,\dots,n$*, Theorem 4.2 provides a description of all solutions of the multivariable generalization* [50] *of Treil-Volberg commutant lifting theorem* [63].

Let $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$, $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, be a row contraction and let $V := (V_1, \ldots, V_n)$, $V_i \in B(\mathcal{K})$, be the minimal isometric dilation of T on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$. Let $Y := (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$, $Y_i \in B(\mathcal{X})$, be a row isometry and let $A \in B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{H})$ be a contraction such that $T_i A = AY_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n$. The *noncommutative commutant lifting* (**NCL**) problem (see [37]) is to find $B \in B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K})$ such that $\|B\| \le 1$,

$$P_{\mathcal{H}} B = A, \quad \text{and} \quad V_i B = BY_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

A parametrization of all solutions in the *noncommutative commutant lifting theorem* (**NCLT**) in terms of generalized choice sequences was obtained in [41]. Using the results of this section, we obtain a more refined parametrization and, moreover, a concrete Schur type description of all solutions.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\{A, T, V, C, Q\}$ be a data set in the particular case when, for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, $\mathcal{G}_i = \mathcal{X}$, $C_i = I_{\mathcal{X}}$, $Q_i = Y_i \in B(\mathcal{X})$, and $Y := (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ is a row isometry. Then any solution of the of the* **NCL** *problem is given by*

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ \Gamma D_A \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{H} \oplus [\mathcal{D} \otimes F^2(H_n)], \tag{4.28}$$

where $\Gamma : \mathcal{D}_A \to \mathcal{D} \otimes F^2(H_n)$ is the symbol of a free holomorphic function $\Theta \in H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}))$ given by

$$\Theta(Z) = L(X)\left[I_{\mathcal{D}_A \otimes \mathcal{Z}} - \sum_{i=1}^n (I_{\mathcal{D}_A} \otimes Z_i) M_i(Z)\right]^{-1} \quad \text{for } \ Z := (Z_1, \ldots, Z_n) \in [B(\mathcal{Z})^n]_1, \tag{4.29}$$

where $\Psi := \begin{bmatrix} L \\ M_1 \\ \vdots \\ M_n \end{bmatrix}$ is an arbitrary element in the noncommutative Schur class $\mathcal{S}^{\Omega}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}_A^{(n)}))$. Moreover, the solution B and the Schur function Ψ uniquely determine each other via the relations (4.28) *and* (4.29)*. There is a unique solution to the* **NCL** *problem if and only if $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}_A$ or $\Omega\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}_A^{(n)}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ and Ω are defined by* (4.7) *and* (4.8)*, respectively.*

Proof. The first part of the theorem follows from Theorem 4.2. To prove the second part, let B be a solution of the **NCL** problem, let Γ be the contraction determined by B, and $\Theta \in H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}))$ be the free holomorphic function with symbol Γ. Due to Theorem 4.5, to prove that B and Ψ uniquely determine each other, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{S}^{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma, \mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}))$ has just one element. Since $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ is a row isometry, the operator Ω (see (4.8)) is an isometry and, consequently, so is Λ. From the definition of Λ (see (4.11)), we deduce that the range of Ω coincides with $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda^{(n)}$. Therefore, $\Lambda : \mathcal{F}_\Gamma \to \mathcal{D}_\Lambda^{(n)}$ is a unitary operator. Consequently, $\mathcal{D}_{\Lambda^*} = \{0\}$. According to Remark 4.6, we deduce that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{G}_\Gamma, \mathcal{D}_{\Lambda^*}))$ is a singleton and, therefore, so is $\mathcal{S}^{\Lambda}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma, \mathcal{D}_\Gamma^{(n)}))$. Therefore, we have proved that any solution B corresponds to a unique Schur function Ψ. Now, due to Remark 4.4, there is a unique solution of the **NCL** problem if and only if $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{ball}}(B(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}_{\Omega^*})) = \{0\}$. The latter equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{G} = \{0\}$ or $\mathcal{D}_{\Omega^*} = \{0\}$. Since Ω is an isometry, the condition $\mathcal{D}_{\Omega^*} = \{0\}$ is equivalent to $\Omega\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}_A^{(n)}$. The proof is complete. ☐

We remark that one can easily obtain a version of Theorem 4.8 in the more general setting of the **NCL** problem when the row isometry $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ is replaced by an arbitrary row contraction.

Another consequence of Theorem 4.2 is the following multivariable version [50] of the weighted commutant lifting theorem of Biswas-Foiaş-Frazho [7].

Corollary 4.9. *Let $T := (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$, $T_i \in B(\mathcal{H})$, be a row contraction and let $V := (V_1, \ldots, V_n)$, $V_i \in B(\mathcal{K})$, be the minimal isometric dilation of T on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$. Let $A \in B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{H})$ be such that $A^*A \le P$, where $P \in B(\mathcal{X})$ be a positive operator. Let $Y := (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$, $Y_i \in B(\mathcal{X})$, be such that $[Y_i^* P Y_j]_{n \times n} \ge [\delta_{ij} P]_{n \times n}$ and*

$$T_i A = AY_i, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Then there exists $B \in B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{K})$ such that $P_{\mathcal{H}} B = A$, $B^*B \le P$, and

$$V_i B = BY_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Proof. Let $\widetilde{X} := \overline{P^{1/2}\mathcal{X}}$. Since $A^*A \leq P$, there exists a unique contraction $\widetilde{A} : \widetilde{X} \to \mathcal{H}$ satisfying the equation $A = \widetilde{A}P^{1/2}$. The condition $T_iA = AY_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, implies $T_i\widetilde{A}C_i = \widetilde{A}Q_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n$, where $C_i = P^{1/2}$ and $Q_i = P^{1/2}Y_i : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Applying Theorem 4.2, we find a contraction $\widetilde{B} : \widetilde{X} \to \mathcal{K}$ such that $P_{\mathcal{H}}\widetilde{B} = \widetilde{A}$ and $V_i\widetilde{B}C_i = \widetilde{B}Q_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Setting $B := \widetilde{B}P^{1/2}$, we have

$$V_iB = V_i\widetilde{B}C_i = \widetilde{B}Q_i = \widetilde{B}P^{1/2}Y_i = BY_i$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Note also that $P_{\mathcal{H}}B = P_{\mathcal{H}}\widetilde{B}P^{1/2} = \widetilde{A}P^{1/2}A$. Since $\widetilde{B}$ is a contraction, we have $B^*BP^{1/2}\widetilde{B}^*\widetilde{B}P^{1/2} \leq P$. This completes the proof. ☐

In a future paper, we apply the results of the present paper to the interpolation theory setting to obtain parametrizations and complete descriptions of all solutions to the Nevanlinna-Pick, Carathéodory-Fejér, and Sarason type interpolation problems for the noncommutative Hardy spaces $H^\infty_{\mathbf{ball}}$ and $H^2_{\mathbf{ball}}$, as well as consequences to (norm constrained) interpolation on the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

References

[1] J. Agler and J.E. McCarthy, Pick interpolation and Hilbert function spaces, *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, **44**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. xx+308 pp.

[2] A. Arias and G. Popescu, Noncommutative interpolation and Poisson transforms, *Israel J. Math.* **115** (2000), 205–234.

[3] W.B. Arveson, Subalgebras of C^*-algebras III: Multivariable operator theory, *Acta Math.* **181** (1998), 159–228.

[4] W.B. Arveson, The curvature invariant of a Hilbert module over $\mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **522** (2000), 173–236.

[5] J. A. Ball, T. T. Trent, and V. Vinnikov, Interpolation and commutant lifting for multipliers on reproducing kernels Hilbert spaces, *Operator Theory and Analysis: The M.A. Kaashoek Anniversary Volume*, pages 89–138, **OT 122**, Birkhauser-Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 2001.

[6] J. A. Ball and V. Vinnikov, Lax-Phillips Scattering and Conservative Linear Systems: A Cuntz-Algebra Multidimensional Setting, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **837** (2005).

[7] A. Biswas, C. Foias, and A.E. Frazho, Weighted commutant lifting, *Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **65** (1999), 657–686.

[8] J. W. Bunce, Models for n-tuples of noncommuting operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **57**(1984), 21–30.

[9] C. Carathéodory, Über den Variabilitätsbereich der Koeffzienten von Potenzreinen die gegebene Werte nicht annehmen, *Math. Ann.* **64** (1907), 95–115.

[10] C. Carathéodory and L. Fejér, Über den Zusammenhang der Extremen von harmonischen Funktionen mit ihren Koeffizienten und über den Picard-Landau'chen Satz, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* **32** (1911), 218–239.

[11] J. Cuntz, Simple C^*–algebras generated by isometries, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **57** (1977), 173–185.

[12] K. R. Davidson, E. Katsoulis, and D.R. Pitts, The structure of free semigroup algebras, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **533** (2001), 99–125.

[13] K.R. Davidson, D.W. Kribs, and M.E. Shpigel, Isometric dilations of non-commuting finite rank n-tuples, *Canad. J. Math.* **53** (2001), 506–545.

[14] K. R. Davidson, J. Li, and D.R. Pitts, Absolutely continuous representations and a Kaplansky density theorem for free semigroup algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **224** (2005), no. 1, 160–191.

[15] K. R. Davidson and D.R. Pitts, Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation for noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebras, *Integr. Equat. Oper.Th.* **31** (1998), 321–337.

[16] K. R. Davidson and D.R. Pitts, The algebraic structure of non-commutative analytic Toeplitz algebras, *Math. Ann.* **311** (1998), 275–303.

[17] K. R. Davidson and D.R. Pitts, Invariant subspaces and hyper-reflexivity for free semigroup algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **78** (1999), 401–430.

[18] P. L. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces*, Academic Press INC., New York-London, 1970.

[19] A. E. Frazho, Models for noncommuting operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **48** (1982), 1–11.

[20] A. E. Frazho, S. ter Horst, and M. A. Kaashoek, All solutions to the relaxed commutant lifting problem, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **72** (2006), no. 1-2, 299–318

[21] C. Foias, A. E. Frazho, *The commutant lifting approach to interpolation problems*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Birhäuser Verlag, Bassel, 1990.

[22] C. Foias, A.E. Frazho, I. Gohberg, and M. Kaashoek, *Metric constrained interpolation, commutant lifting and systems*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications vol. 100, Birhäuser Verlag, BasselVerlag, Bassel, 1998.

[23] C. Foias, A. E. Frazho, and M. A. Kaashoek, Relaxation of metric constrained interpolation and a new lifting theorem. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **42** (2002), no. 3, 253–310.

[24] I. Gohberg, S. Goldberg, and M. A. Kaashoek, *Classes of Linear Operators*, Vol.II, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications **63**, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 1993.

[25] J.W. Helton, "Positive" noncommutative polynomials are sums of squares, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **156** (2002), no.2, 675–694.

[26] J.W. Helton and S.A. McCullough, A Positivstellensatz for non-commutative polynomials, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), no. 9, 3721–3737.

[27] J.W. Helton, S.A. McCullough, and M. Putinar, A non-commutative Positivstellensatz on isometries, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **568** (2004), 71–80.

[28] J.W. Helton, S.A. McCullough, and M. Putinar, Matrix representations for positive noncommutative polynomials, *Positivity* **10** (2006), no. 1, 145–163.

[29] J.W. Helton, S.A. McCullough, and V. Vinnikov, Noncommutative convexity arises from linear matrix inequalities, *J. Funct. Anal.* **240** (2006), no. 1, 105–191.

[30] G. Herglotz, Über Potenzreien mit positiven, reelen Teil im Einheitkreis, Berichte über die Verhaundlungen der königlich sächsischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Leipzig, *Math.-Phys. Klasse* **63** (1911), 501–511.

[31] P.S. Muhly and B. Solel, Tensor algebras over C^*-correspondences: representations, dilations, and C^*-envelopes, *J. Funct. Anal.* **158** (1998), 389–457.

[32] P.S. Muhly and B. Solel, Hardy algebras, W^*-correspondences and interpolation theory, *Math. Ann.* **330** (2004), 353–415.

[33] R. Nevanlinna, Über beschränkte Functionen, die in gegebenen Punkten vorgeschribene Werte annehmen, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser A* **13** (1919), no. 1.

[34] G. Pick, Über die Beschränkungen analytischer Functionen welche durch vorgebene Functionswerte bewirkt sind, *Math. Ann.* **77** (1916), 7–23.

[35] G. Pisier, *Similarity Problems and Completely Bounded Maps*, Springer Lect. Notes Math., Vol. 1618, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995

[36] G. Popescu, Models for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators, *Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **53** (1989), 355–368.

[37] G. Popescu, Isometric dilations for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **316** (1989), 523–536.

[38] G. Popescu, Characteristic functions for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators, *J. Operator Theory* **22** (1989), 51–71.

[39] G. Popescu, Multi-analytic operators and some factorization theorems, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **38** (1989), 693–710.

[40] G. Popescu, Von Neumann inequality for $(B(H)^n)_1$, *Math. Scand.* **68** (1991), 292–304.

[41] G. Popescu, On intertwining dilations for sequences of noncommuting operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **167** (1992), 382–402.

[42] G. Popescu, Functional calculus for noncommuting operators, *Michigan Math. J.* **42** (1995), 345–356.

[43] G. Popescu, Multi-analytic operators on Fock spaces, *Math. Ann.* **303** (1995), 31–46.

[44] G. Popescu, Noncommutative disc algebras and their representations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 2137–2148.

[45] G. Popescu, Interpolation problems in several variables, *J. Math Anal. Appl.* **227** (1998), 227–250.

[46] G. Popescu, Poisson transforms on some C^*-algebras generated by isometries, *J. Funct. Anal.* **161** (1999), 27–61.

[47] G. Popescu, Structure and entropy for positive definite Toeplitz kernels on free semigroups, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **254** (2001), 191-218.

[48] G. Popescu, Curvature invariant for Hilbert modules over free semigroup algebras, *Adv. Math.* **158** (2001), 264–309.

[49] G. Popescu, Similarity and ergodic theory of positive linear maps, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **561** (2003), 87–129.

[50] G. Popescu, Multivariable Nehari problem and interpolation, *J. Funct. Anal.* **200** (2003), 536–581.

[51] G. Popescu, Entropy and Multivariable Interpolation, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **184** (868) (2006).

[52] G. Popescu, Operator theory on noncommutative varieties, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **55** (2) (2006), 389–442.

[53] G. Popescu, Free holomorphic functions on the unit ball of $B(\mathcal{H})^n$, *J. Funct. Anal.* **241** (2006), 268–333.

[54] G. Popescu, Commutator lifting inequalities and interpolation, *Pacific J. Math.* **225** (2006), 155–175.

[55] G. Popescu, Unitary invariants in multivariable operator theory, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.

[56] G. Popescu, Noncommutative transforms and free pluriharmonic functions, preprint 2007.

[57] G. Popescu, Free holomorphic functions and interpolation, preprint 2007.

[58] F. Riesz, Sur certains systèmes singuliers d'équations intégrales, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (Paris)* **28** (1911), 33-62.

[59] D. Sarason, Generalized interpolation in H^∞, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1967), 179–203.

[60] I. Schur, Über Potenzreihen die im innern des Einheitshreises beschränkt sind, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **148** (1918), 122–145.

[61] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, Dilation des commutants d'opérateurs, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, série A*, **266** (1968), 493–495.

[62] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*, North Holland, New York 1970.

[63] S. Treil and A. Volberg, A fixed point approach to Nehari's problem and its applications, *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications* vol. **71**, Birkhäuser 1994, 165-186.

[64] J. von Neumann, Eine Spectraltheorie für allgemeine Operatoren eines unitären Raumes, *Math. Nachr.* **4** (1951), 258–281.

Department of Mathematics, The University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX 78249, USA

E-mail address: `gelu.popescu@utsa.edu`